



THE LIVING VOICE
OF THE
LORD'S WITNESSES



**DIRECT QUOTES FROM THE PIONEERS
OF THE SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH**

‘Whom the heaven must receive until the times of **restitution** of all things, which God hath spoken by the mouth of all his holy prophets since the world began.’
Acts 3:21

Prepared & Published by:
Restitution Ministries
P. O. Box 7137
Kariong, NSW 2250
Australia
www.acts321.org

(for more titles, see inside back cover)

Restitution Ministries is run by Seventh-day Adventist believers who are committed to the proclamation of the Everlasting Gospel. ‘Therefore seeing we have this ministry, as we have received mercy, we faint not; But have renounced the hidden things of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness, nor handling the word of God deceitfully; but by manifestation of the truth commending ourselves to every man’s conscience in the sight of God. We having the same spirit of faith, according as it is written, I believed, and therefore have I spoken; we also believe, and therefore speak.’ 2 Corinthians 4:1, 2, 13

This book is published in response to the instruction of the Lord given through His delegated messenger. We have made it a point to keep our comments to a bare minimum, and rather allow the pioneers to speak for themselves. Thus we believe that the Lord will use this testimony to impress the true and honest seekers of truth. We fully trust that truth does not need any comment or elaboration on our part, but the simplicity and beauty of it shines in a luster that cannot be missed by all those who are “seeking goodly pearls.” It is our sincere wish and prayer that the Lord will guide and bless you through the pages of this book.

The Publishers

THE LIVING VOICE OF THE LORD'S WITNESSES

These are the words of the pioneers of the remnant church of God. Those humble men and women who were used by God to bring out the truths of His word and establish them as a sure, unchanging foundation, upon which the Seventh-day Adventist church is to stand unmoved till the day of the Lord's coming. These were the builders that God used to build up the platform of truth through the guidance of the Spirit of truth. In whose days the Lord brought us, as with Israel of old, to the borders of Canaan and said, "Go in," and we entered not in because of unbelief. Let us see where we are, and why we are here. Let us learn the lessons of our forefathers, recount the blessing and long-suffering of the Lord, and allow Him to do in and through us what He was unable to do over 100 years ago.

We leave you with some unanswered questions we pray this book will raise:-

- Where has the 'movement' gone?
- Has it been true to its foundations?
- Why are we still here some 160 years after the movement first began proclaiming the near return of Christ?
- Is God's remnant church, the Seventh-day Adventist church, today standing on the same foundation that was laid by the "Master Builder" through His chosen agents?

CONTENTS

Our Founding

Pioneers:

Ellen G. White ----- 5

James S. White ----- 15

Joseph Bates ----- 20

John N. Andrews ---- 22

J. N. Loughborough - 25

Uriah Smith ----- 28

J. H. Waggoner ----- 31

S. N. Haskell ----- 35

Roswell F. Cottrell --- 37

Joseph B. Frisbie ---- 41

Merritt E. Cornell ---- 42

John G. Matteson ---- 43

The 1888

Messengers:

Alonzo T. Jones ---- 44

Ellet J. Waggoner --- 45

Other Notable

Witnesses:

W. W. Prescott ----- 50

James E. White ----- 51

William C. White ----- 52

Other Leading

Workers:

M. C. Wilcox ----- 53

S. M. I. Henry ----- 55

C. W. Stone ----- 55

A. J. Dennis ----- 55

A. J. Morton ----- 56

D. W. Hull ----- 56

Those Who Left Us:

J. M. Stephenson 57

D. M. Canright --- 58

Later Witnesses:

J. S. Washburn -- 61

H. W. Cottrell ---- 65

B. G. Wilkinson -- 65

Appendix ----- 66

ELLEN GOULD WHITE
MESSENGER OF THE LORD
(1827 – 1915)



Ellen Harmon was a teenager when she and her family first heard William Miller preach in 1840. She was converted at a Methodist camp meeting that same year, and was baptized two years later. She passed through the disappointment, but her faith never wavered. In December of 1844, Ellen received her first vision, regarding the travels of the advent people to the city of God. The Lord called her to a life-long ministry as His messenger. She became one of the three original pioneers of the church, joining with her husband-to-be, James White, and Joseph Bates in spreading the new light on the cleansing of the sanctuary and the Sabbath. In addition to personal messages given her for specific people, Mrs. White received numerous visions and dreams outlining the Bible truths for our time. She wrote extensively on topics as varied as the great controversy between Christ and Satan, healthful living, proper methods of education, and godly family relations.

Shortly after her first vision, Ellen said that she was bidden by the Lord, "Write, write the things that are revealed to you." "At the time this message came" she later said, "I could not hold my hand steady. My physical condition made it impossible for me to write." "But again came the word, 'Write the things that are revealed to you.' I obeyed; and ... it was not long before I could write page after page with comparative ease. Who told me what to write? Who steadied my right hand and made it possible for me to use a pen? - It was the Lord." {*Review & Herald*, June 14, 1906} Out of these messages, the believers were led to begin schools, sanitariums, and publishing houses. She did the bulk of her writing during the last three decades of her life. During these years she labored particularly with others to bring the message of righteousness by faith in an end-time setting to the church, and then endeavored to contain the damage that ensued when this truth was rejected.

Mrs. White's last years were spent living in California. Through times of apostasies and fires of judgment, the Lord continued to speak through her until the end, guiding, reproving, instructing the remnant church, ever pointing the sinner to Jesus and the cross, and sounding a clarion call to prepare to meet the Lord. No other one person has had as great an influence on the development of the Seventh-day Adventist church as Ellen White.

“The Firm Foundation of Our Faith

Many of our people do not realize how firmly the foundation of our faith has been laid. My husband, Elder Joseph Bates, Father Pierce, Elder [Hiram] Edson, and others who were keen, noble, and true, were among those who, after the passing of the time in 1844, searched for the truth as for hidden treasure. I met with them, and we studied and prayed earnestly. Often we remained together until late at night, and sometimes through the entire night, praying for light and studying the Word. Again and again these brethren came together to study the Bible, in order that they might know its meaning, and be prepared to teach it with power. When they came to the point in their study where they said, "We can do nothing more," the Spirit of the Lord would come upon me, I would be taken off in vision, and a clear explanation of the passages we had been studying would be given me, with instruction as to how we were to labor and teach effectively. Thus light was given that helped us to understand the scriptures in regard to Christ, His mission, and His priesthood. A line of truth extending from that time to the time when we shall enter the city of God, was made plain to me, and I gave to others the instruction that the Lord had given me.” {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 1*, pp. 206, 207. 1904}

“The leading points of our faith as we hold them today were firmly established. Point after point was clearly defined, and all the brethren came into harmony. The whole company of believers were united in the truth. There were those who came in with strange doctrines, but we were never afraid to meet them. Our experience was wonderfully established by the revelation of the Holy Spirit.” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 3*, p. 413. 1903}

“As a people we are to stand firm on the platform of eternal truth that has withstood test and trial. We are to hold to the sure pillars of our faith. The principles of truth that God has revealed to us are our only true foundation. They have made us what we are. The lapse of time had not lessened their value.-- Special Testimonies, Series B, No. 2, p. 51. (1904.)” {E. G. White, *Counsels to Writers and Editors*, p. 52}

“No line of truth that has made the Seventh-day Adventist people what they are, is to be weakened. We have the old landmarks of truth, experience, and duty, and we are to stand firmly in defense of our principles, in full view of the world.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies for the Church Volume 6*, p. 17. 1901}

“Messages of every order and kind have been urged upon Seventh-day Adventists, to take the place of the truth which, point by point, has been sought out by prayerful study, and testified to by the miracle-working power of the Lord. But the waymarks

which have made us what we are, are to be preserved, and they **will** be preserved, as God has signified through His Word and the testimony of His Spirit. He calls upon us to hold firmly, with the grip of faith, to the fundamental principles that are based upon **unquestionable authority.**” {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 1*, p. 208. 1904}

“The record of the experience through which the people of God passed in the early history of our work must be republished. **Many of those who have since come into the truth are ignorant of the way in which the Lord wrought.** The experience of William Miller and his associates, of Captain Joseph Bates, and of other pioneers in the Advent message, should be kept before our people. Elder Loughborough's book should receive attention. Our leading men should see what can be done for the circulation of this book.” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 17*, p. 344. 1903}

“The burden of the warning now to come to the people of God, nigh and afar off, is the third angel's message. And those who are seeking to understand this message will not be led by the Lord to make an application of the Word that will undermine the foundation and remove the pillars of the faith that has made Seventh-day Adventists what they are **today.**-- Manuscript 31, 1896.” {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 2*, p. 103. **1896**}

“Our Periodicals

God has given me light regarding our periodicals. What is it?--He has said that the dead are to speak. How?--Their works shall follow them. **We are to repeat the words of the pioneers in our work, who knew what it cost to search for the truth as for hidden treasure, and who labored to lay the foundation of our work.** They moved forward step by step under the influence of the Spirit of God. One by one these pioneers are passing away. **The word given me is, Let that which these men have written in the past be reproduced.** And in *The Signs of the Times* let not the articles be long or the print fine. Do not try to crowd everything into one number of the paper. Let the print be good, and let earnest, living experiences be put into the paper.

Not long ago I took up a copy of the *Bible Echo*. As I looked it through, I saw an article by Elder Haskell and one by Elder Corliss. **As I laid the paper down, I said, These articles must be reproduced. There is truth and power in them. Men spoke as they were moved by the Holy Spirit.**

Let the truths that are the foundation of our faith be kept before the people. Some will depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and doctrines of devils. They talk science, and the enemy comes in and gives them an abundance of

science; but it is not the science of salvation. It is not the science of humility, of consecration, or of the sanctification of the Spirit. We are now to understand what the pillars of our faith are,--the truths that have made us as a people what we are, leading us on step by step.

Early Experiences

After the passing of the time in 1844 we searched for the truth as for hidden treasure. I met with the brethren, and we studied and prayed earnestly. Often we remained together until late at night, and sometimes through the entire night, praying for light and studying the Word. Again and again these brethren came together to study the Bible, in order that they might know its meaning, and be prepared to teach it with power. When they came to the point in their study where they said, "We can do nothing more," the Spirit of the Lord would come upon me. I would be taken off in vision, and a clear explanation of the passages we had been studying would be given me, with instruction as to how we were to labor and teach effectively. ***Thus light was given that helped us to understand the scriptures in regard to Christ, his mission, and his priesthood. A line of truth extending from that time to the time when we shall enter the city of God, was made plain to me,*** and I gave to others the instruction that the Lord had given me.

During this whole time I could not understand the reasoning of the brethren. My mind was locked, as it were, and I could not comprehend the meaning of the scriptures we were studying. This was one of the greatest sorrows of my life. I was in this condition of mind until all the principal points of our faith were made clear to our minds, in harmony with the Word of God. The brethren knew that, when not in vision, I could not understand these matters, and they accepted, as light directly from heaven, the revelations given.

Many errors arose, and though I was then little more than a child, I was sent by the Lord from place to place to rebuke those who were holding these false doctrines. There were those who were in danger of going into fanaticism, and I was bidden in the name of the Lord to give them a warning from heaven.

We shall have to meet these same false doctrines again. There will be those who will claim to have visions. When God gives you clear evidence that the vision is from him, you may accept it, but do not accept it on any other evidence; for people are going to be led more and more astray in foreign countries and in America. The Lord wants his people to act like men and women of sense.

Salvation in the Truth

In the future, deception of every kind is to arise, and we want solid ground for our feet. We want solid pillars for the building. ***Not one pin is to be removed from that which the Lord has established.*** The enemy will bring in false theories, such as

the doctrine that there is no sanctuary. This is one of the points on which there will be a departing from the faith. Where shall we find safety unless it be in the truths that the Lord has been giving for **the last fifty years?**” {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, May 25, **1905**}

“Let Pioneers Identify Truth.--***When the power of God testifies as to what is truth, that truth is to stand forever as the truth. No aftersuppositions, contrary to the light God has given are to be entertained. Men will arise with interpretations of Scripture which are to them truth, but which are not truth. The truth for this time, God has given us as a foundation for our faith. He Himself has taught us what is truth.*** One will arise, and still another, with new light which contradicts the light that God has given under the demonstration of His Holy Spirit.

A few are still alive who passed through the experience gained in the establishment of this truth. God has graciously spared their lives to repeat and repeat till the close of their lives, the experience through which they passed even as did John the apostle till the very close of his life. ***And the standard-bearers who have fallen in death, are to speak through the reprinting of their writings. I am instructed that thus their voices are to be heard. They are to bear their testimony as to what constitutes the truth for this time.*** -- *Preach the Word*, p. 5. (1905.)” {E. G. White, *Counsels to Writers and Editors*, pp. 31, 32}

“Let not the fact be lost sight of that in the past these earnest wrestlers sacrificed everything to advance the work. The fact that they have grown old and gray in the service of God is no reason why they should cease to exert an influence superior to the influence of men who have far less knowledge of the work and far less experience in divine things. Though worn and unable to bear the heavier burdens that younger men can and should carry, their value as counselors is of the highest order. They have made mistakes, but they have learned wisdom from their failures; they have learned to avoid errors and dangers, and are they not then competent to give wise counsel? They have borne test and trial, and, though they have lost some of their vigor, they are not to be pushed aside by less-experienced workers, who know very little about the labor and self-sacrifice of these pioneers. The Lord does not thus lay them aside. He gives them special grace and knowledge. ...Those who served their Master when the work went hard, who endured poverty and remained faithful to the truth when our numbers were small, are ever to be honored and respected. I am instructed to say: Let every believer respect the aged pioneers who have borne trials and hardships and many privations. They are God's workmen and have acted a prominent part in the building up of His Work.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies for the Church Volume 7*, pp. 287-289. 1902}

“I am instructed to say, Let every believer respect the men who acted a prominent part during the early days of the message, and who have borne trials and hardships and many privations. These men have grown gray in service. Not long hence they will receive their reward. . . .

The Lord desires His servants who have grown gray in the advocacy of truth to stand faithful and true, bearing their testimony in favor of the law.

God's tried servants must not be put in hard places. Those who served their Master when the work went hard, ***those who endured poverty and remained faithful in the love of the truth when our numbers were small, are ever to be honored and respected. Let those who have come into the truth in later years take heed to these words. God desires all to heed this caution.***--Letter 47, 1902.” {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 2*, p. 227}

“I saw that God is displeased with the disposition that some have to murmur against those who have fought the heaviest battles for them and who endured so much in the commencement of the message, when the work went hard. The experienced laborers, those who toiled under the weight and the oppressive burdens when there were but few to help bear them, God regards; and He has a jealous care for those who have proved faithful. He is displeased with those who are ready to find fault with and reproach those servants of God who have grown gray in building up the cause of present truth.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies for the Church Volume 3*, pp. 320, 321. 1873}

“The Word of the Lord has guided our steps since the passing of the time in 1844. We have searched the Scriptures; we have built solidly; and we have not had to tear up our foundations and put in new timbers. Letter 24, 1907, p. 3. (To Elder A. G. Daniells, February 4, 1907.)

... The Lord has declared that the history of the past shall be rehearsed as we enter upon the closing work. ***Every truth that He has given for these last days is to be proclaimed to the world. Every pillar that He has established is to be strengthened. We cannot now step off the foundation that God has established. . . . There is need now to rehearse the experience of the men who acted a part in the establishment of our work at the beginning.*** Ms 129, 1905, p. 3. (“Steadfast unto the End, ”Dec. 24, 1905.)” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Vol. 1*, p. 54}

“The truths that have been substantiated by the manifest working of God are to stand fast. Let no one presume to move a pin or a foundation stone from the structure. Those who attempt to undermine the pillars of our faith are among those of whom the Bible says that “in the latter times some shall depart from the faith,

giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils." Letter 87, 1905, pp. 2, 3. (To Elder and Mrs. S. N. Haskell, February 25, 1905.)" {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 1*, p. 55}

“Vindication of Our Message

... I am instructed that the Lord, by His infinite power, has preserved the right hand of His messenger for more than half a century, in order that the truth may be written out as He bids me write it for publication, in periodicals and books, Why?-- Because if it were not thus written out, when the pioneers in the faith shall die, there would be many, new in the faith, who would sometimes accept as messages of truth teachings that contain erroneous sentiments and dangerous fallacies. Sometimes that which men teach as "special light" is in reality specious error, which, as tares sown among the wheat, will spring up and produce a baleful harvest. And errors of this sort will be entertained by some until the close of this earth's history.

There are some, who upon accepting erroneous theories, strive to establish them by collecting from my writings statements of truth, which they use, separated from their proper connection and perverted by association with error. Thus seeds of heresy, springing up and growing rapidly into strong plants, are surrounded by many precious plants of truth, and in this way a mighty effort is made to vindicate the genuineness of the spurious plants.

So it was with the heresies taught in *Living Temple*. [A BOOK EXPRESSING PANTHEISTIC SENTIMENTS PUBLISHED BY J. H. KELLOGG.] The subtle errors in this book were surrounded by many beautiful truths. . . . The seductive fallacies of Satan undermined confidence in the true pillars of the faith, which are grounded on Bible evidence. Truth is sustained by a plain "Thus saith the Lord." But there has been a weaving in of error, and the use of scriptures out of their natural connection, in order to substantiate fallacies, which would deceive, if possible, the very elect. . .

Let not the days pass by and precious opportunities be lost of seeking the Lord with all the heart and mind and soul. If we accept not the truth in the love of it, we may be among the number who will see the miracles wrought by Satan in these last days, and believe them.--Letter 136, April 27, 1906, to Brethren Butler, Daniells, and Irwin." {E. G. White, *This Day with God*, p. 126}

"I do not wish to ignore or drop one link in the chain of evidence that was formed as, after the passing of the time in 1844, little companies of seekers after truth met together to study the Bible and to ask God for light and guidance. . . . The truth, point by point, was fastened in our minds so firmly that we could not doubt. . . . The evidence given in our early experience has the same force that it had then. The truth is the same as it ever has been, and not a pin or a pillar can be moved from the structure of truth. That which was sought for out of the Word in 1844, 1845, and 1846 remains the truth in every particular. Letter 38, 1906, pp.

1, 2. (To the Wahroonga Sanitarium Family, January 23, 1906.)” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 1*, p. 52}

“God gave us a precious experience in those days. When brought in close conflict with the powers of darkness, as we frequently were, we laid the whole matter before the mighty Helper. Again and again we prayed for strength and wisdom. We would not yield the point; we felt that help must come. And through faith in God, the enemy's artillery was turned against himself, glorious victories were gained to the cause of truth, and we were made to realize that God gave not his Spirit by measure unto us. Had it not been for these special evidences of God's love, had he not thus, by the manifestation of his Spirit, set his seal to the truth, we might have become discouraged; but these proofs of Divine guidance, these living experiences in the things of God, strengthened us to fight manfully the battles of the Lord. The believing ones could more clearly discern how God had mapped out their course, guiding them amid trials, disappointments, and fierce conflicts. They grew stronger as they met and overcame obstacles, and gained a rich experience at every step they advanced. **Many of the pioneers, who shared with us these trials and victories, remained true till the close of life, and have fallen asleep in Jesus.**” {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, November 20, 1883}

“The Testimony of Pioneer Workers.--I have had presentations regarding the deceptions that Satan is bringing in at this time. ***I have been instructed that we should make prominent the testimony of some of the old workers who are now dead. Let them continue to speak through their articles as found in the early numbers of our papers. These articles should now be reprinted, that there may be a living voice from the Lord's witnesses.*** The history of the early experiences in the message will be a power to withstand the masterly ingenuity of Satan's deceptions. This instruction has been repeated recently. I must present before the people the testimonies of Bible truth, and repeat the decided messages given years ago.--Letter 99, 1905.” {E. G. White, *Counsels to Writers and Editors*, p. 26}

“Protest against Removing Landmarks_

When men come in who would move one pin or pillar from the foundation which God has established by His Holy Spirit, let the aged men who were pioneers in our work speak plainly, and let those who are dead speak also, by the reprinting of their articles in our periodicals. Gather up the rays of divine light that God has given as He has led His people on step by step in the way of truth. This truth will stand the test of time and trial. Ms 62, 1905, p. 6. (“A Warning against False Theories,” May 24, 1905.)” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 1*, p. 55}

“The truths given us after the passing of the time in 1844 are just as **certain and unchangeable** as when the Lord gave them to us in answer to our urgent prayers. The visions that the Lord has given me are so remarkable that we know that what we have accepted is the truth. *This was demonstrated by the Holy Spirit. Light, precious light from God, established the main points of our faith as we hold them today.* Letter 50, 1906, pp. 1, 2. (To Elder W. Simpson, January 30, 1906.)” {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 1*, p. 53}

“The leadings of the Lord were marked, and most wonderful were His revelations of what is truth. *Point after point was established by the Lord God of heaven. That which was truth then, is truth today.* But the voices do not cease to be heard--“This is truth. I have new light.” But these new lights in prophetic lines are manifest in misapplying the Word and setting the people of God adrift without an anchor to hold them. *If the student of the Word would take the truths which God has revealed in the leadings of His people, and appropriate these truths, digest them, and bring them into their practical life, they would then be living channels of light.* But those who have set themselves to study out new theories, have a mixture of truth and error combined, and after trying to make these things prominent, have demonstrated that they have not kindled their taper from the divine altar, and it has gone out in darkness.--Manuscript 31, 1896.” {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 2*, p. 104}


“That which was truth in the beginning is truth now. Although new and important truths appropriate for succeeding generations have been opened to the understanding, *the present revealings do not contradict those of the past. Every new truth understood only makes more significant the old.*” {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, March 2, 1886}

“It is impossible to estimate the evil results of removing one of the landmarks fixed by the word of God. Few who venture to do this stop with the rejection of a single truth. The majority continue to set aside one after another of the principles of truth, until they become actual infidels.” {E. G. White, *The Great Controversy*, p. 525. 1888}

“Our people need to understand the reasons of our faith and our past experiences. *How sad it is that so many of them apparently place unlimited confidence in men who present theories tending to uproot our past experiences and to remove the old landmarks! Those who can so easily be led by a false spirit show that they have been following the wrong captain for some time--so*

long that they do not discern that they are departing from the faith, or that they are not building upon the true foundation. We need to urge all to put on their spiritual eyeglasses, to have their eyes anointed that they may see clearly and discern the true pillars of the faith. Then they will know that "the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal, The Lord knoweth them that are his" (2 Tim. 2:19). We need to revive the old evidences of the faith once delivered to the saints."
{E. G. White, Selected Messages Book 2, p. 25. 1904}

JAMES SPRINGER WHITE
APOSTLE PAUL OF THE MOVEMENT
(1821 – 1881)



Seventh-day Adventists have never known a more talented and capable executive and missionary leader than James Springer White. He was also a powerful public evangelist. Not only did he participate with William Miller and Joseph Bates and scores of other preachers in announcing the near advent of our Lord in the 1840's, but he outlived the Millerite movement to become the first great apostle of the Seventh-day Adventist cause. His contribution to the church was in the field of the publishing work as much as in church leadership and administration. If there was a founder

of the Review and Herald Publishing Association & the Pacific Press Publishing Association it was he and his wife, Ellen White. James White was the sponsor and promoter of both great institutions. He died when he was only sixty. He literally worked himself to death. His sixty years of life were spent unselfishly and sacrificially. No other Seventh-day Adventist minister did more than he to build high principle and efficiency into the life of our churches and institutions.

His wife, the messenger of the Lord, had this to say of him: "Many of the pioneers, who shared with us these trials and victories, remained true till the close of life, and have fallen asleep in Jesus. Among these is the faithful warrior who for thirty-six years stood by my side in the battle for truth. God used him as a teacher and leader to stand in the front ranks during the severe struggles of those early days of the message; but he has fallen at his post, and, with others who have died in the faith, he awaits the coming of the Lifegiver, who will call him from his gloomy prison-house to a glorious immortality." {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, November 20, 1883} He received a commendation that few others have attained: "God has permitted the precious light of truth to shine upon His word and illuminate the mind of my husband. He may reflect the rays of light from the presence of Jesus upon others by his preaching and writing." {E. G. White, *Testimonies for the Church* Volume 3, p. 502}

“THE POSITION OF THE REMNANT

As fundamental errors, we might class with this counterfeit sabbath other errors which Protestants have brought away from the Catholic church, such as sprinkling for baptism, the trinity, the consciousness of the dead and eternal life in misery. The mass who have held these fundamental errors, have doubtless done it ignorantly; but can it be supposed that the church of Christ will carry along with her these errors till the judgment scenes burst upon the world? We think not. "Here are they [in the period of a message given just before the Son of man takes his place upon the white cloud, Rev.xiv,14] that keep the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus." *This class, who live just prior to the second advent, will not be keeping the traditions of men, neither will they be holding fundamental errors relative to the plan of salvation through Jesus Christ. And as the true light shines out upon these subjects, and is rejected by the mass, then condemnation will come upon them. ... Solemn dreadful, swiftly-approaching hour!*" {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, September 12, 1854}

“The Father and the Son were one in man's creation, and in his redemption. *Said the Father to the Son, "Let us make man in our image."* And the triumphant song in which the redeemed take part, is unto "Him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb, forever and ever."” {J. S. White, *The Law and the Gospel*, p. 1. 1870}

“Paul affirms of the Son of God that he was in the form of God, and that he was equal with God. 'Who being in the form of God thought it not robbery to be equal with God.' Phil. 2:6. The reason why it is not robbery for the Son to be equal with the Father is the fact that he is equal... ***The inexplicable Trinity that makes the Godhead three in one and one in three, is bad enough; but that ultra Unitarianism that makes Christ inferior to the Father is worse. Did God say to an inferior, "Let us make man in our image"?"***” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, November 29, 1877}

“Jesus prayed that his disciples might be one as he was one with his Father. *This prayer did not contemplate one disciple with twelve heads, but twelve disciples, made one in object and effort in the cause of their master. Neither are the Father and the Son parts of the "three-one God."* They are two distinct beings, yet one in the design and accomplishment of redemption. The redeemed, from the first who shares in the great redemption, to the last, all ascribe the honor, and glory, and praise, of their salvation, to both God and the Lamb.” {J. S. White, *Life incidents*, p. 343. 1868}

“The gospel of the Son of God is the good news of salvation through Christ. When man fell, angels wept. Heaven was bathed in tears. **The Father and the Son took counsel, and Jesus offered to undertake the cause of fallen man.** He offered to die that man might have life. The Father consented to give his only beloved, and the good news resounded through heaven, and on earth, that a way was opened for man's redemption.” {J. S. White, *The Law and the Gospel*, pp. 2, 3. 1870}

“Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you and exhort you that ye should earnestly contend for THE faith which was once delivered unto the saints...” (Jude 3, 4) ...The exhortation to contend for the faith delivered to the saints, is to us alone. And it is very important for us to know what for and how to contend. In the 4th verse he gives us the reason why we should contend for THE faith, a particular faith; “for there are certain men,” or a certain class who deny the only Lord God and our Lord Jesus Christ. ... **The way spiritualizers have disposed of or denied the only Lord God and our Lord Jesus Christ is first using the old unscriptural Trinitarian creed,** viz., that Jesus Christ is the eternal God, though they have not one passage to support it, while we have plain scripture testimony in abundance that he is the Son of the eternal God.” {J. S. White, *The Day Star*, January 24, 1846}

“The Father is the greatest in that he is first. The Son is next in authority because He has been given all things.” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, January 4, 1881}

“We are told by those who teach the abolition of the Father's law, that the commandments of God mentioned in the New Testament, are not the ten, but the requirements of the gospel, such as repentance, faith, baptism and the Lord's supper. But as these, and every other requirement peculiar to the gospel, are all embraced in the faith of Jesus, it is evident that the commandments of God are not the sayings of Christ and his apostles. To assert that the sayings of the Son and his apostles are the commandments of the Father, is as wide from the truth as the old trinitarian absurdity that Jesus Christ is the very and Eternal God. And as the faith of Jesus embraces every requirement peculiar to the gospel, it necessarily follows that the commandments of God, mentioned by the third angel, embrace only the ten precepts of the Father's immutable law which are not peculiar to any one dispensation, but common to all.” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, August 5, 1852}

“Bro. Cottrell is nearly eighty years of age, remembers the dark day of 1780, and has been a Sabbath-keeper more than thirty years. He was formerly united with the Seventh-Day Baptists, but on some points of doctrine has differed from that body. **He rejected the doctrine of the trinity,** also the doctrine of man's consciousness

between death and the resurrection, and the punishment of the wicked in eternal consciousness. He believed that the wicked would be destroyed. Bro. Cottrell buried his wife not long since, who, it is said, was one of the excellent of the earth. Not long since, this aged pilgrim received a letter from friends in Wisconsin, purporting to be from M. Cottrell, his wife, who sleeps in Jesus. But he, believing that the dead know not anything, was prepared to reject at once the heresy that the spirits of the dead, knowing everything, come back and converse with the living. Thus truth is a staff in his old age. He has three sons in Mill Grove, who, with their families are Sabbath-keepers.” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, June 9, 1853}

“Here we might mention the Trinity, which does away the personality of God, and of his Son Jesus Christ, and of sprinkling or pouring instead of being "buried with Christ in baptism," "planted in the likeness of his death:" but we pass from these fables to notice one that is held sacred by nearly all professed Christians, both Catholic and Protestant. It is, The change of the Sabbath of the fourth commandment from the seventh to the first day of the week.” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, December 11, 1855}

“CATHOLIC REASONS FOR KEEPING SUNDAY.

1. Because "it is also called Sunday from the old Roman denomination of Dies Solis, the day of the sun, to which it was sacred." "Sunday was a name given by the heathens to the first day of the week, because it was the day on which they worshipped the sun."

2. Because it is "in honor of the blessed Virgin Mary."


3. Because "it is a day dedicated by the apostles to the honor of the most Holy Trinity." {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, April 4, 1854}

“The "mystery of iniquity" began to work in the church in Paul's day. It finally crowded out the simplicity of the gospel, and corrupted the doctrine of Christ, and the church went into the wilderness. Martin Luther, and other reformers, arose in the strength of God, and with the Word and Spirit, made mighty strides in the Reformation. **The greatest fault we can find in the Reformation is, the Reformers stopped reforming. Had they gone on, and onward, till they had left the last vestige of Papacy behind, such as natural immortality, sprinkling, the trinity, and Sunday-keeping, the church would now be free from her unscriptural errors.**” {J. S. White, *Review & Herald*, February 7, 1856}

“The work of emancipating, instructing and leading the Hebrews was given to One who is called an angel. Ex.13:21; 14:19,24; 23:20-23; 32:34; Num.20:16; Isa.63:9.

And this angel Paul calls "that spiritual Rock that followed them," and he affirms, "That Rock was Christ." 1Cor.10:4. The eternal Father is never called an angel in the Scriptures, while what angels have done is frequently ascribed to the Lord, as they are his messengers and agents to accomplish his work. It is said of Him who went before the Hebrews to deliver them, "My name is in him." In all the stupendous events of that deliverance the mind of Jehovah was represented in Jesus." {J. S. White, *Christ and the Sabbath*, p. 11}

JOSEPH BATES
APOSTLE OF THE SABBATH TRUTH
(1792 – 1872)



At the age of fifteen Joseph Bates "shipped" on a commercial vessel, and for the next twenty-one years lived the life of a sailor. He returned to civilian life in 1828 with a small fortune. He was working to develop a property for an industrial school when in 1839 he accepted William Miller's views on the speedy advent of Christ. Within a year or so, the retired sea captain became a respected evangelist and spiritual leader among the Adventists. He presided at one of the early advent conferences. In early 1845, Bates was providentially led to an understanding of the truth concerning the seventh-day Sabbath. He visited

Washington, New Hampshire, where a group of Adventists had begun to observe the seventh day. Fortified by this experience, he became the apostle of this new-found doctrine. In 1846 he published a 48-page tract on the subject. Captain Bates was present at the Sabbath Conferences of 1848 where important Bible teachings were unearthed from the gold mine of Scripture by the Sabbath keeping Adventists. These new-found doctrines became the platform of the Seventh-day Adventist faith, of which Bates was one of the first pioneers. The respected Captain was the oldest member of our church pioneers, and he became the first Seventh-day Adventist local conference president (Michigan, 1861). He lived to a ripe old age. Captain Bates was a spiritual man with clear-cut views and courageous as a lion. He was among those who studied to lay the firm foundations of our faith: "My husband, with Elders Joseph Bates, Stephen Pierce, Hiram Edson, and others who were keen, noble, and true, was among those who, after the passing of the time in 1844, searched for the truth as for hidden treasure." {E. G. White, *Testimonies to Ministers and Gospel Workers*, pp. 23, 24}


"My parents were members of long standing in the Congregational church, with all of their converted children thus far, and anxiously hoped that we would also unite with them. But they embraced some points in their faith which I could not understand. I will name two only: their mode of baptism, and doctrine of the trinity. My father, who had been a deacon of long standing with them, labored to convince me that they were right in points of doctrine. I informed him that my mind was troubled in relation to baptism. Said he, "I had you baptized when an infant." I

answered, that that might all be according to his faith; but the Bible taught that we must first believe and then be baptized (Mark xvi,16;1Pet.iii,21), but I was not capable of believing when I was an infant. Respecting the trinity, I concluded that it was an impossibility for me to believe that the Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of the Father, was also the Almighty God, the Father, one and the same being. I said to my father, "If you can convince me that we are one in this sense, that you are my father, and I your son; and also that I am your father, and you my son, then I can believe in the trinity."³ {J. Bates, *The Autobiography of Elder Joseph Bates*, pp. 204, 205. 1868}

“One thing more: Much derision is made about those of our company that have joined the Shakers. I say it is a shame to them first, to have preached so clearly and distinctly the speedy coming of our Lord Jesus Christ *personally* to gather his saints - and then to go and join the Shakers in their faith, that he (Jesus) came spiritually in their Mother, Ann Lee, more than seventy years ago. This, without doubt in my mind, is owing to their previous teaching and belief in a doctrine called the **trinity**. How can you find fault with their faith while you are teaching the very essence of that **never - no never to be understood, doctrine?** ... We believe that Peter and his master settled this question beyond controversy, Matt.16:13-19; and I cannot see why Daniel and John has not fully confirmed that Christ is the Son, and, not God the Father. How could Daniel explain his vision of the 7th chapter, if "Christ was God." Here he sees one "like the Son (and it cannot be proved that it was any other person) of man, and there was given him Dominion, and Glory, and a kingdom;" by the ancient of days. Then John describes one seated on a throne with a book in his right hand, and he distinctly saw Jesus come up to the throne and take the book out of the hand of him that sat thereon. Now if it is possible to make these two entirely different transactions appear in one person, then I could believe that God died and was buried instead of Jesus, and that Paul was mistaken when he said, "Now the God of peace that *brought again from the dead ou[r]* Lord Jesus that great shepherd of the sheep" &c., and that Jesus also did not mean what he said when he asserted that he came from God, and was going to God, &c.&c.; and much more, if necessary, to prove the utter absurdity of such a faith." {Joseph Bates in a letter to William Miller, *Past and Present Experience*, pp. 187, 188. 1848}

“But you say, God is a spirit. (There is no doubt but [t]hat his spirit pervades all space, and every thing in it that has life.)” {J. Bates, *The Opening Heavens*, p. 18. 1846}

JOHN NEVINS ANDREWS FIRST FOREIGN MISSIONARY (1829 – 1883)



J. N. Andrews usually stands for one thing to Seventh-day Adventists. He was the first Seventh-day Adventist missionary, traveling to Western Europe in 1874, there to establish the work in Switzerland. Few of us know J. N. Andrews as the third president of the General Conference. The literary giant and deep scholar was also at one time the editor of our venerable church paper, the *Review and Herald*. He was closely associated with Elder and Mrs. James White in the pioneer leadership and evangelistic work of the infant church. He became a minister at the age of 21. *He claimed the ability to reproduce the entire New Testament from memory and could read the Bible in seven different languages.* Andrews was an intellectual who enjoyed "severe study" much more than physical activity. He was an excellent theologian and wrote an article proving that Sabbath was from sunset to sunset, which became the doctrine of SDA's. He was first to write that the U.S. was the two-horned beast of Rev. 13. Elder Andrews wrote many articles and books during his career (Among them is his historic book *History of the Sabbath*). He died in Europe nine years after his arrival there. Though he lived only 54 years, he distinguished himself as one of the best writers we have ever had. Andrews was a warrior who stood in the forefront of the battle to the day of his death. This is what Sister White said about him, "From what God has shown me from time to time, Brother Andrews was his chosen servant, to do a work others could not do. I have testimonies where the most distinct reference is made to his precious gift. The experience he has obtained has qualified him for the important work for these last days." {E. G. White, *Manuscript Releases Volume 13*, p. 32}

"Every member of the human family, except Adam, has had parents, and every one has had beginning of days; and indeed, with two exceptions, everyone has had end of life. Even the angels of God have all had beginning of days, so that they would be as much excluded by this language as the members of the human family. *And as to the Son of God, he would be excluded also, for he had God for his Father, and did, at some point in the eternity of the past, have beginning of days.*" {J. N. Andrews, *Review & Herald*, September 7, 1869}

“The doctrine of the Trinity which was established in the church by the council of Nice, A. D. 325. This doctrine destroys the personality of God, and his Son Jesus Christ our Lord. The infamous, measures by which it was forced upon the church which appear upon the pages of ecclesiastical history might well cause every believer in that doctrine to blush.” {J. N. Andrews, *Review & Herald*, March 6, 1855}

“That God is the fountain and source of immortality is plain from the statement of Paul. He speaks thus of God the Father: 'Who only hath immortality, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto; whom no man hath seen nor can see; to whom be honor and power everlasting; Amen.' 1 Tim. 6:16. This text is evidently designed to teach that the self existent God is the only being who, of himself, possesses this wonderful nature. Others may possess it as derived from him, but he alone is the fountain of immortality. "Our Lord Jesus Christ is the source of this life to us. 'For as the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself.' John 5:26. 'As the living Father hath sent me, and I live by the Father; so he that eateth me, even he shall live by me.' John 6:57. The Father gives us this life in His Son. 'And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life and this life is in his Son. He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.' 1Jn 5:11,12. These Scriptures do clearly indicate that Christ is the source of endless life, and that those only have this who have Christ.” {J. N. Andrews, *Review & Herald*, January 27, 1874 p. 52}

A CATHOLIC CHALLENGE

“Protestants not Guided by Scripture. ["Doctrinal Catechism"- pp. 101,174,351-355.]

"Q. Have you any other proofs that they [Protestants] are not guided by the Scriptures? A. Yes; so many, that we cannot admit more than a mere specimen into this small work. They reject much that is clearly contained in Scripture, and profess more that is nowhere discoverable in that Divine Book.


Q. Give some examples of both? A. They should, if the Scripture were their only rule, wash the feet of one another, according to the command of Christ, in the 13th chap. of St. John; - they should keep, not the Sunday, but the Saturday, according to the commandment, 'Remember thou keep holy the Sabbath-day;' for this commandment has not, in Scripture, been changed or abrogated."

"Q. Have you any other way of proving that the Church has power to institute festivals of precept? A. Had she not such power, she could not have done that in

which all modern religionists agree with her; - she could not have substituted the observance of Sunday the first day of the week, for the observance of Saturday the seventh day, a change for which there is no Scriptural authority."

"Q. Do you observe other necessary truths as taught by the Church, not clearly laid down in Scripture? A. **The doctrine of the Trinity, a doctrine the knowledge of which is certainly necessary to salvation, is not explicitly and evidently laid down in Scripture, in the Protestant sense of private interpretation.**" {Review & Herald, August 22, 1854}

JOHN NORTON LOUGHBOROUGH
FIRST CHURCH HISTORIAN
(1832 – 1924)



J. N. Loughborough was the son of a Methodist minister and became a Sabbath-keeping Adventist through the labors of J. N. Andrews in 1852. He began preaching immediately and was ordained in 1854. He, along with D. T. Bourdeau, were our first missionaries, sent to California in 1868. Loughborough was the first man to receive ordination in what was later to be known as the Seventh-day Adventist Church. This occurred when he was only 22 years old. Like most of the early Advent leaders, Loughborough took a real interest in the literature work. Loughborough was truly a great pioneer, lending his many talents to the development of the work wherever there was a need. Elder Loughborough was obedient to the heavenly vision, and God used him in a mighty way to build up the interest of His cause. An eye-witness to the founding of the Seventh-day Adventist church, he became the denomination's first historian, writing the book *The Rise and Progress of Seventh-day Adventists*, which was followed by *The Great Second Advent Movement*. He was also the author of smaller books. He spent his last years in the St. Helena Sanitarium, where he passed away peacefully on April 7, 1924, at the ripe old age of ninety-two. His funeral was held in the St. Helena church, which was one of the first churches he had raised up in California more than fifty years before. "I say let Elder Loughborough do a work that is suffering to be done in the churches. The Lord would have his voice heard as was John's, telling the things he has seen, and that which he has heard, which he himself has experienced in the rise and progress of the third angel's message." {E. G. White, 1888 Materials, p. 716}

“QUESTIONS FOR BRO. LOUGHBOROUGH.

BRO. WHITE: The following questions I would like to have you give, or send, to Bro. Loughborough for explanation. W. W. GILES. *Toledo, Ohio.*

QUESTION 1. What serious objection is there to the doctrine of the Trinity?

ANSWER. There are many objections which we might urge, but on account of our limited space we shall reduce them to the three following: 1. **It is contrary to common sense.** 2. **It is contrary to scripture.** 3. **Its origin is Pagan and fabulous.**

These positions we will remark upon briefly in their order. And 1. It is not very consonant with common sense to talk of three being one, and one being three. Or as some express it, calling God "the Triune God," or "the three-one-God." **If Father, Son, and Holy Ghost are each God, it would be three Gods; for three times one is not one, but three.** There is a sense in which they are one, but not one person, as claimed by Trinitarians.

2. It is contrary to Scripture. Almost any portion of the New Testament we may open which has occasion to speak of the Father and Son, represents them as two distinct persons. The seventeenth chapter of John is alone sufficient to refute the doctrine of the Trinity. Over forty times in that one chapter Christ speaks of his Father as a person distinct from himself. His Father was in heaven and he upon earth. The Father had sent him. Given to him those that believed. He was then to go to the Father. And in this very testimony he shows us in what consists the oneness of the Father and Son. It is the same as the oneness of the members of Christ's church. "That they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us; that the world may believe that thou hast sent me. And the glory which thou gavest me I have given them; that they may be one, even as we are one." Of one heart and one mind. Of one purpose in all the plan devised for man's salvation. Read the seventeenth chapter of John, and see if it does not completely upset the doctrine of the Trinity.

To believe that doctrine, when reading the scripture we must believe that God sent himself into the world, died to reconcile the world to himself, raised himself from the dead, ascended to himself in heaven, pleads before himself in heaven to reconcile the world to himself, and is the only mediator between man and himself. It will not do to substitute the human nature of Christ (according to Trinitarians) as the Mediator; for Clarke says, "Human blood can no more appease God than swine's blood." Com. on 2Sam.xxi,10. We must believe also that in the garden God prayed to himself, if it were possible, to let the cup pass from himself, and a thousand other such absurdities.

Read carefully the following texts, comparing them with the idea that Christ is the Omnipotent, Omnipresent, Supreme, and only self-existent God: John xiv,28; xvii,3; iii,16; v,19,26; xi,15; xx,19; viii,50; vi,38; Mark xiii,32; Luke vi,12; xxii,69; xxiv,29; Matt.iii,17; xxvii,46; Gal.iii,20; 1Jno.ii,1; Rev.v,7; Acts xvii,31. Also see Matt.xi,25,27; Luke i,32; xxii,42; John iii,35,36; v,19,21,22,23,25,26; vi,40; viii,35,36; xiv,13; 1Cor.xv,28, &c.

The word Trinity nowhere occurs in the Scriptures. The principal text supposed to teach it is 1John [5]:7, which is an interpolation. Clarke says, "Out of one hundred and thirteen manuscripts, the text is wanting in one hundred and twelve. It occurs in

no MS. before the tenth century. And the first place the text occurs in Greek, is in the Greek translation of the acts of the Council of Lateran, held A. D. 1215." - Com. on John i, and remarks at close of chap.

3. Its origin is pagan and fabulous. Instead of pointing us to scripture for proof of the trinity, we are pointed to the trident of the Persians, with the assertion that "by this they designed to teach the idea of a trinity, and if they had the doctrine of the trinity, they must have received it by tradition from the people of God. But this is all assumed, for it is certain that the Jewish church held to no such doctrine. Says Mr. Summerbell, "**A friend of mine who was present in a New York synagogue, asked the Rabbi for an explanation of the word `elohim'. A Trinitarian clergyman who stood by, replied, `Why, that has reference to the three persons in the Trinity,' when a Jew stepped forward and said he must not mention that word again, or they would have to compel him to leave the house; for it was not permitted to mention the name of any strange god in the synagogue.**" (Discussion between Summerbell and Flood on Trinity, p. 38) Milman says the idea of the Trident is fabulous. (Hist. Christianity, p.34)

This doctrine of the trinity was brought into the church about the same time with image worship, and keeping the day of the sun, and is but Persian doctrine remodeled. It occupied about three hundred years from its introduction to bringing the doctrine to what it is now. It was commenced about 325 A. D., and was not completed till 681. See Milman's Gibbon's Rome, vol. iv, p.422. It was adopted in Spain in 589, in England in 596, in Africa in 534. - Gib. vol. iv, pp.114,345; Milner, vol. i, p.519." {J. N. Loughborough, *Review & Herald*, November 5, 1861}

"The Spirit of God is spoken of in the Scriptures as God's representative- the power by which he works, the agency by which all things are upheld. This is clearly expressed by the Psalmist...Psa. 139:7-10. We learn from this language that when we speak of the Spirit of God we are really speaking of his presence and power." {J. N. Loughborough, *Review & Herald*, September 13, 1898}

"Moreover, he [Christ] is the beginning of the creation of God. ... **The language does not necessarily imply that he was created;** for the words... may simply signify that the work of creation, strictly speaking, was begun by him. Without him was not anything made. Others, however, and more properly we think, take the word (for beginning in Greek) to mean the agent or efficient cause, ... understanding that Christ is the agent through whom God has created all things, but that he himself came into existence in a different manner, as he is called the only begotten of the Father." {J. N. Loughborough, *Insert A-1, Lest We Forget*, Volume 4, Number 2, Second Quarter, 1994}

URIAH SMITH
PERENNIAL EDITOR OF THE REVIEW
(1832 – 1903)



Few Seventh-day Adventists have known their Bibles better than Uriah Smith. He was a quiet, reserved man who impressed people by his learning and appearance. A man of noble countenance, he commanded respect. In December, 1852, he accepted the light of the message taught by the Sabbath-keeping Adventists. The following year he associated with the publishing interests of the "little flock" of believers in Rochester. For about a half century he was the editor or on the editorial staff of the church paper, the *Review and Herald*. Smith was one of the most fluent writers the denomination has had. In debate his pen could be incisive, his logic clear, and his reasoning lucid. Uriah Smith was the first Secretary of the General Conference, accepting this post at the organization of the General Conference in the spring of the year 1863. He is best known for his book, *The Prophecies of Daniel and the Revelation*, which has circulated by the thousands of copies. He was the first Bible teacher at Battle Creek College. Elder Smith was often seen walking down the streets of Battle Creek with his cane, limping along on his artificial limb, for he had suffered an amputation as a teen-age boy. He was versatile and intelligent, as were most of the pioneers. Yet, in spite of all this, he is probably the most maligned of all the pioneers! But Sister White had this to say of him: "I feel very tender toward Elder Smith. My life interest in the publishing work is bound up with his. He came to us as a young man, possessing talents that qualified him to stand in his lot and place as an editor. How I rejoice as I read his articles in the Review--so excellent, so full of spiritual truth. I thank God for them. I feel a strong sympathy for Elder Smith, and I believe that his name should always appear in the Review as the name of the leading editor. Thus God would have it. When, some years ago, his name was placed second, I felt hurt. When it was again placed first, I wept, and said, "Thank God." May it always be there, as God designs it shall be, while Elder Smith's right hand can hold a pen. And when the power of his hand fails, let his sons write at his dictation." {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 2*, p. 225} The early workers were people of stature-high calibre. Truly, God chose the best that He could find to do the most important work given to men and women in these last days.

“The Scriptures nowhere speak of Christ as a created being, but on the contrary plainly state that he was begotten of the Father. (See remarks of Rev. 3:14, **where it is shown that Christ is not a created being.**) But while as the Son he does not possess a coeternity of past existence with the Father, the beginning of his existence, as the begotten of the Father, antedates the entire work of creation, in relation to which he stands as joint creator with God. John 1:3; Heb 1:2. Could not the Father ordain that to such a being worship should be rendered equally with himself, without its being idolatry on the part of the worshiper? He has raised him to positions which make it proper that he should be worshipped, and has even commanded that worship should be rendered him, which would not have been necessary had he been equal with the Father in eternity of existence. Christ himself declares that 'as the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself.' John 5:26. The Father has 'highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name.' Phil. 2:9. And the Father himself says, 'Let all the angels of God worship him.' Heb. 1:6. These testimonies show that Christ is now an object of worship equally with the Father; but they do not prove that with him he holds an eternity of past existence.” {U. Smith, *Thoughts on the Book of Daniel and the Revelation*, p. 430. 1882}

“God alone is without beginning. At the earliest epoch when a beginning could be, - a period so remote that to finite minds it is essentially eternity, - appeared the Word. “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.” John 1:1. **This uncreated Word** was the Being, who, in the fulness of time, was made flesh, and dwelt among us. His beginning was not like that of any other being in the universe. It is set forth in the mysterious expressions, “his [God’s] only begotten Son” (John 3:16; 1 John 4:9), “the only begotten of the Father” (John 1:14), and, “I proceeded forth and came from God.” John 8:42. Thus it appears that by some divine impulse or process, not creation, known only to Omniscience, and possible only to Omnipotence, the Son of God appeared. And then the Holy Spirit (by an infirmity of translation called “the Holy Ghost”), **the Spirit of God, the Spirit of Christ, the divine afflatus and medium of their power,** representative of them both (Ps. 139:7), was in existence also.” {U. Smith, *Looking Unto Jesus*, p. 10. 1898}


“1. We are baptized in the name of the Father, Son and Holy Ghost. Matt. 28:19. By this we express our belief in the existence of the one true God, the mediation of his Son, and the influence of the Holy Spirit.” {U. Smith, *The Bible Students Assistant*, pp. 21, 22. 1858}

“J. W. W. Asks: “Are we to understand that the Holy Ghost is a person, the same as the Father and the Son? Some claim that it is, others that it is not.””

Ans. - The terms “Holy Ghost”, are a harsh and repulsive translation. It should be “Holy Spirit” (hagion pneuma) in every instance. This Spirit is the Spirit of God, and the Spirit of Christ; the Spirit being the same whether it is spoken of as pertaining to God or Christ. **But respecting this Spirit, the Bible uses expressions which cannot be harmonized with the idea that it is a person like the Father and the Son.** Rather it is shown to be a divine influence from them both, the medium which represents their presence and by which they have knowledge and power through all the universe, when not personally present. Christ is a person, now officiating as priest in the sanctuary in heaven; and yet he says that wherever two or three are gathered in his name, he is there in the midst. Mt. 18:20. How? Not personally, but by his Spirit. In one of Christ’s discourses (John 14-16) this Spirit is personified as “the Comforter,” and as such has the personal and relative pronouns, “he,” “him,” and “whom,” applied to it. But usually it is spoken of in a way to show that it cannot be a person, like the Father and the Son. For instance, it is often said to be “poured out” and “shed abroad.” But we never read about God or Christ being poured out or shed abroad. If it was a person, it would be nothing strange for it to appear in bodily shape; and yet when it has so appeared, that fact has been noted as peculiar. Thus Luke 3:22 says: “And the Holy Ghost descended in a bodily shape like a dove upon him.” But the shape is not always the same; for on the day of Pentecost it assumed the form of “cloven tongues like as of fire.” Acts 2:3, 4. Again we read of “the seven Spirits of God sent forth into all the earth.” Rev. 1:4; 3:1; 4:5; 5:6. This is unquestionably simply a designation of the Holy Spirit, put in this form to signify its perfection and completeness. But it could hardly be so described if it was a person. We never read of the seven Gods or the seven Christs.” {U. Smith, *Review & Herald*, October 28, 1890}

“It may not then be out of place for us to consider for a moment what this Spirit is, what its office is, what its relation to the world and to the church, and what the Lord through this proposes to do for his people. **The Holy Spirit is the Spirit of God; it is also the Spirit of Christ. It is that divine, mysterious emanation through which they carry forward their great and infinite work. ... You will notice in these few verses the apostle brings to view the three great agencies which are concerned in this work: God, the Father; Christ, his Son; and the Holy Spirit.**” {U. Smith, *General Conference Daily Bulletin* Volume 4, March 14, 1891, pp. 146, 147}

JOSEPH HARVEY WAGGONER (1820 – 1889)



Waggoner was an evangelist, editor, and author. He attended school for only six months, but was indefatigable in private study. Though a man with little formal education, *J. H. Waggoner was a giant in literary accomplishments, a master of Greek and Hebrew*, a knowledgeable theologian, an accomplished editor, a pioneer in health reform and religious liberty, and a tower of strength as a pioneer in the closing message of truth. When Waggoner first learned of the Adventist message in December, 1851, he was editor and publisher of a political newspaper. He threw his tobacco wad into the stove on the day he accepted the Sabbath, and he stood with Joseph Bates as a strong advocate of temperate living. By 1853, Waggoner had unreservedly dedicated his life to the propagation of the message. Having learned the publishing trade as a youth in Pennsylvania and Illinois, Waggoner's talents were employed many times in editorial capacities. He followed James White as editor of the western *Signs of the Times*, and he was the first editor of both the *Pacific Health Journal* and the *American Sentinel* (a Religious Liberty journal). Waggoner was one of a committee of three that recommended the name "Seventh-day Adventist" for the church. In 1868 he published in *The Atonement* his clear convictions on the doctrine of righteousness by faith. Younger men were influenced by his teaching, including his son E. J. Waggoner, and A. T. Jones, who were prominent in preaching on that subject in 1888. Waggoner was an eloquent speaker, a good editor, and a most industrious worker. He wrote with clarity and precision.

"Many theologians really think that the Atonement, in respect to its dignity and efficacy, rests upon the doctrine of a trinity. But we fail to see any connection between the two. To the contrary, the advocates of that doctrine really fall into the difficulty which they seem anxious to avoid. Their difficulty consists in this: They take the denial of a trinity to be equivalent to a denial of the divinity of Christ. Were that the case, we should cling to the doctrine of a trinity as tenaciously as any can; but it is not the case. They who have read our remarks on the death of the Son of God know that we firmly believe in the divinity of Christ; but we cannot accept the idea of a trinity, as it is held by Trinitarians, without giving

up our claim on the dignity of the sacrifice made for our redemption.” {J. H. Waggoner, *The Atonement in the Light of Nature and Revelation*, pp. 164, 165}

“The distinction between Christ and the true God is most clearly shown by the Saviour’s own words in John 17:3: “That they might know thee, the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent.” Much stress is laid on Isa. 9:6, as proving a trinity, which we have before quoted, as referring to our High Priest who shed his blood for us. The advocates of that theory will say that it refers to a trinity because Christ is called the everlasting Father. But for this reason, with others, we affirm that it can have **no reference to a trinity**. Is Christ the Father in the trinity? If so, how is he the Son? or if he is both Father and Son, how can there be a trinity? for a trinity is three persons. To recognize a trinity, the distinction between the Father and Son must be preserved. Christ is called “the second person in the trinity;” but if this text proves a trinity, or refers to it at all, it proves that he is not the second, but the first. And if he is the first, who is the second? It is very plain that this text has no reference to such a doctrine.” {J. H. Waggoner, *ibid*, pp. 168, 169}

“The ‘Athanasian creed’...was formulated and the faith defined by Athanasius. Previous to that time there was no settled method of expression, if, indeed, there was anywhere any uniformity of belief. Most of the early writers had been pagan philosophers, who to reach the minds of that class, often made strong efforts to prove that there was a blending of the two systems, Christianity and philosophy. There is abundance of material in their writings to sustain this view. Bingham speaks of the vague views held by some in the following significant terms: “There were some very early that turned the doctrine of the Trinity into **Tritheism**, and, instead of three divine persons under the economy of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, brought in three collateral, coordinate, and self-originated beings, making them three absolute and independent principles, without any relation of Father or Son, which is the most proper notion of three gods. And having made this change in the doctrine of the Trinity, they made another change answerable to it in the form of baptism.’-Antiquities, book 11, chap. 3, &4. “Who can distinguish between this form of expression and that put forth by the council of Constantinople in A.D. 381, wherein the true faith is declared to be that of ‘an uncreated and consubstantial and co-eternal Trinity?’ The truth is that we find the same idea which is here described by Bingham running through much of the orthodox literature of the second and third centuries. There is no proper ‘relation of Father and Son’ to be found in the words of the council, above quoted...Bingham says this error in regard to a Trinity of three coordinate and self-originated and independent beings arose in the church very early; and so we find it in the earliest authors after the days of the apostles... We leave it with the good judgment of every unprejudiced reader that three baptisms are more consistent with the idea of “three collateral, co-ordinate,

and self-originated beings”, than with the idea of baptism into the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, and in the likeness of the Saviour’s death and resurrection.” {J. H. Waggoner, *Thoughts on Baptism*, 1878}

“There is one question which has been much controverted in the theological world upon which we have never presumed to enter. It is that of the personality of the Spirit of God. Prevailing ideas of *person* are very diverse, often crude, and the word is differently understood; so that unity of opinion on this point cannot be expected until all shall be able to define precisely what they mean by the word, or until all shall agree upon one particular sense in which the word shall be used. But as this agreement does not exist, it seems that a discussion of the subject cannot be profitable, especially as it is not a question of direct revelation. We have a right to be positive in our faith and our statements only when the words of Scripture are so direct as to bring the subject within the range of positive proof. We are not only willing but anxious to leave it just where the word of God leaves it. From it we learn that the Spirit of God is that awful and mysterious power which proceeds from the throne of the universe, and which is the efficient actor in the work of creation and of redemption.” {J. H. Waggoner, *The Spirit Of God; Its Offices And Manifestations*, pp. 8, 9. 1877}


“As before remarked, the great mistake of Trinitarians, in arguing this subject, is this: they make no distinction between a denial of a trinity and a denial of the divinity of Christ. They see only the two extremes, between which the truth lies; and take every expression referring to the pre-existence of Christ as evidence of a trinity. The Scriptures abundantly teach the pre-existence of Christ and his divinity; but they are entirely silent in regard to a trinity. The declaration, that the divine Son of God could not die, is as far from the teachings of the Bible as darkness is from light. And we would ask the Trinitarian, to which of the two natures are we indebted for redemption? The answer must, of course, be, To that one which died or shed his blood for us; for “we have redemption through his blood.” Then it is evident that if only the human nature died, our Redeemer is only human, and that the divine Son of God took no part in the work of redemption, for he could neither suffer nor die. **Surely, we say right, that the doctrine of a trinity degrades the Atonement,** by bringing the sacrifice, the blood of our purchase, down to the standard of Socinianism.” {J. H. Waggoner, *The Atonement In The Light Of Nature And Revelation*, pp. 173, 174}

“Ques. What is Sunday, or the Lord’s Day in general?

Ans. **It is a day dedicated by the Apostles to the honor of the most holy Trinity,** and in memory that Christ our Lord arose from the dead upon Sunday, sent down the holy Ghost on a Sunday, &c.; and therefore it is called the Lord’s Day. It is also

called Sunday from the old Roman denomination of Dies Solis, the day of the sun, to which it was sacred. - *Douay Catechism*, Page 143.” {J. H. Waggoner, *Review & Herald*, July 18, 1854}

STEPHEN NELSON HASKELL
FATHER OF TRACT & MISSIONARY SOCIETY
(1833 – 1922)



Stephen N. Haskell was a convert of Joseph Bates and an Adventist preacher named William Saxby. He was a soap manufacturer and a soap salesman by trade. But in time he exchanged his soap routes for the missionary preacher's circuit. When he began to preach about 1853, he had no financial support except what he could earn in his business. There were few preachers among the Sabbath-keeping Adventists, so Haskell with his original mind began to train lay members for witnessing. In 1869 he began the tract and missionary work. He was the first to organize tract societies. In 1882, he pioneered an academy in South Lancaster which

was destined to become Atlantic Union College. This was the third Seventh-day Adventist school, being antedated only by Battle Creek College and Healdsburg College. Haskell was a good organizer and administrator. He served for years as a conference president. In 1885 he was in charge of a group that was sent to open denominational work in Australia and New Zealand. His influence was especially strong in the Australian publishing work. In 1887, with three Bible instructors he began SDA work in London, England. He was a careful Bible student and an excellent teacher. To him goes the credit for the concept of Bible readings so popular among Seventh-day Adventist laymen and ministers. His written works include *The Story of Daniel the Prophet*, *The Story of the Seer of Patmos*, and *The Cross and Its Shadow*. He died at 89 years of age, his head topped with the glory of many years and his life graced with many benevolences. Sister White spoke of him as a respected man of experience, "Brother Haskell is the Lord's servant, a man of opportunity. We appreciate his experience, his judgment, his thoughtful care and caution. He is indeed a mighty man in the Scriptures. He opens the Word of God in such a simple manner, making every subject reveal its true importance." {E. G. White, Letter 140, 1897} (Quoted in *Ellen G. White Vol. 4 The Australian Years 1891-1900*, By Arthur L. White, 1983 p. 307)

“When the time passed in 1844, there were none who believed the truth as we now hold it. All believed the prophecies that brought us to that time. Then began a greater searching of the Bible than had ever been, probably, at any time since the days of


the apostles. They went over and over the old arguments concerning the prophecies that pointed to 1844, and after most thorough examination they could see no other conclusion than that the prophetic periods terminated at that time. As they studied, they began to see one link of truth after another; and as these truths unfolded to the pioneers, -- I have reference to such men as Elders James White, J. N. Andrews, Uriah Smith, and J. H. Wagoner, -- they did not dare present that truth to the people until they had made it a special subject of prayer and the Spirit of prophecy had set its seal to it." {S. N. Haskell, *Review and Herald*, October 27, 1904}

"The rainbow in the clouds is but a symbol of the rainbow which has encircled the throne from eternity. Back in the ages, which finite mind cannot fathom, the Father and Son were alone in the universe. Christ was the first begotten of the Father, and to Him Jehovah made known the divine plan of Creation. The plan of the creation of worlds was unfolded, together with the order of beings which should people them. Angels, as representatives of one order, would be ministers of the God of the universe. The creation of our own little world was included in the deep-laid plans. The fall of Lucifer was foreseen; likewise the possibility of the introduction of sin, which would mar the perfection of the divine handiwork. It was then, in those early councils, that Christ's heart of love was touched: and the only begotten Son pledged His life to redeem man, should he yield and fall. Father and Son, surrounded by impenetrable glory, clasped hands. ... and the everlasting covenant was made; and henceforth Father and Son, with one mind, worked together to complete the work of creation. Sacrifice of self for the good of others was the foundation of it all." {S. N. Haskell, *The Story of the Seer of Patmos*, pp. 93, 94. 1905}

"Before the creation of our world, "there was war in heaven." Christ and the Father covenanted together; and Lucifer, the covering cherub, grew jealous because he was not admitted into the eternal councils of the Two who sat upon the throne." {S. N. Haskell, *The Story of the Seer of Patmos*, p. 217. 1905}

"**Christ was the firstborn in heaven;** He was likewise the firstborn of God upon earth, and heir to the Father's throne. Christ, the firstborn, though the Son of God, was clothed in humanity, and was made perfect through suffering. He took the form of man, and through eternity, He will remain a man." {S. N. Haskell, *The Story of the Seer of Patmos*, pp. 98, 99. 1905}

ROSWELL FENNER COTTRELL (1814 – 1892)



Cottrell was one of the early Adventists. A writer, poet, and minister. He descended from the Huguenots and was born in a Seventh Day Baptist family in the state of New York. Through reading the *Review and Herald* some time about 1851 and comparing its message with the Scriptures, he was led to join the developing group of Seventh-day Adventists and immediately began to contribute his talents as a writer and poet to the propagation of the faith that he had espoused. He wrote one of the earlier (1854) series of Bible lessons for the young people that was published in the *Youth's Instructor*. In 1855 these lessons were bound together

into a book that served as a guide to biblical study among the churches for several years afterward. As a minister he worked with J. N. Loughborough in the efforts in New York and Pennsylvania. He continued, almost to his death, actively working for the church. Cottrell was a formidable fighter for truth.

“Men have gone to opposite extremes in the discussion of the doctrine of the Trinity. Some have made Christ a mere man, commencing his existence at his birth in Bethlehem; others have not been satisfied with holding him to be what the Scriptures so clearly reveal him, the pre-existing Son of God, but have made him the 'God and Father' of himself... I would simply advise all that love our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, to believe all that the bible says of Him, and no more.... **We Understand that the term trinity means the union of three persons, not offices, in one God; so that the Father, Son and holy Ghost, are three at least, and one at most. That one person is three persons, and that three persons are only one person, is the doctrine which we claim is contrary to reason and common sense.** The being and attributes of God are above, beyond, out of reach of my sense and reason, yet I believe them: But the doctrine I object to is contrary, yes, that is the word, to the very sense and reason that God has himself implanted in us. Such a doctrine he does not ask us to believe. ... But our Creator has made it an absurdity to us that one person should be three persons, and three persons but one person; **and in his revealed word he has never asked us to believe it.** This our friend thinks objectionable. ... But to hold the doctrine of the Trinity is not so much an evidence of evil intention as of intoxication from that wine of which all the nations have drunk. The fact that this was one of the leading doctrines, if not the very chief, upon which the bishop of Rome was exalted to popedom, does not say much in its favor. This should cause men to investigate it for

themselves: as when the spirits of devils working miracles undertake the advocacy of the immortality of the soul. Had I never doubted it before, I would now probe it to the bottom, by that word which modern Spiritualism sets at nought. ...

Revelation goes beyond us; but in no instance does it go contrary to right reason and common sense. God has not claimed, as the popes have, that he could "make justice of injustice," nor has he, after teaching us to count, told us that there is no difference between the singular and plural numbers. Let us believe all he has revealed, and add nothing to it." {R. F. Cottrell, *Review & Herald*, July 6, 1869}

"He proceeded to affirm that "man is a triune being," consisting of body, soul and spirit. I never heard a Disciple confess faith in the doctrine of the trinity; but why not, if man consists of three persons in one person? especially, since man was made in the image of God? But the image he said, was a moral likeness. So man may be a triune being without proving that God is. But does he mean that one man is three men? I might say that a tree consists of body, bark and leaves, and no one perhaps would dispute it. But if I should affirm that each tree consists of three trees, the assertion would possibly be doubted by some. But if all admitted that one tree is three trees, I might then affirm that there were ninety trees in my orchard, when no one could count but thirty. I might then proceed and say, I have ninety trees in my orchard, and as each tree consists of three trees, I have two hundred and seventy. So if one man is three men, you may multiply him by three as often as you please." {R. F. Cottrell, *Review & Herald*, Nov. 19, 1857}

"Position of SDA Pioneers on the Trinity

This has been a popular doctrine and regarded as orthodox ever since the bishop of Rome was elevated to the popedom on the strength of it. It is accounted dangerous heresy to reject it; but each person is permitted to explain the doctrine in his own way. All seem to think they must hold it, but each has perfect liberty to take his own way to reconcile its contradictory propositions; and hence a multitude of views are held concerning it by its friends, all of them orthodox, I suppose, as long as they nominally assent to the doctrine. For myself, I have never felt called upon to explain it, nor to adopt and defend it, neither have I ever preached against it. But I probably put as high an estimation on the Lord Jesus Christ as those who call themselves Trinitarians. This is the first time I have ever taken the pen to say anything concerning the doctrine.

My reasons for not adopting and defending it, are **1. Its name is unscriptural. The Trinity, or the triune God, is unknown to the Bible; and I have entertained the idea that doctrines which require words coined in the human mind to express them, are coined doctrines. 2. I have never felt called upon to adopt and explain that which is contrary to all the sense and reason that God has given**

me. All my attempts at an explanation of such a subject would make it no clearer to my friends.

But if I am asked what I think of Jesus Christ, my reply is, I believe *all* that the Scriptures say of him. If the testimony represents him as being in glory with the Father before the world was, I believe it. If it is said that he was in the beginning with God, that he was God, that all things were made by him and for him, and that without him was not anything made that was made, I believe it. *If the Scriptures say he is the Son of God, I believe it. If it is declared that the Father sent his Son into the world, I believe he had a Son to send.* If the testimony says he is the beginning of the creation of God, I believe it. If he is said to be the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of his person, I believe it. And when Jesus says, 'I and my Father are one,' I believe it; and when he says, 'My Father is greater than I,' I believe that too; it is the word of the Son of God, and besides this it is perfectly reasonable and seemingly self-evident.

If I be asked how I believe the Father and Son are one, I reply, They are one in a sense not contrary to sense. If the and in the sentence means anything, the Father and the Son are two beings. They are one in the same sense in which Jesus prayed that his disciples might be one. He asked his Father that his disciples might be one. His language is, that they may be one, "even as we are one."


It may be objected, If the Father and the Son are two distinct beings, do you not, in worshipping the Son and calling him God, break the first commandment of the Decalogue?

No; it is the Father's will That all men should honor the Son, even as they honor the Father. We cannot break the commandment and dishonor God by obeying him. The Father says of the Son, Let all the angels of God worship him. Should angels refuse to worship the Son, they would rebel against the Father. *Children inherit the name of their father. The Son of God hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than the angels. That name is the name of his Father.* The Father says to the Son, Thy throne, O God, is forever and ever. Heb. 1:8. The Son is called The mighty God. Isa. 9:6. And when he comes again to earth his waiting people will exclaim, This is our God. Isa. 25:9. It is the will of the Father that we should thus honor the Son. In doing so we render supreme honor to the Father. *If we dishonor the Son we dishonor the Father; for he requires us to honor his Son.*

But though the Son is called God yet there is a God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. 1 Pet. 1:3. Though the Father says to the Son, Thy throne, O God, is forever and ever, yet, that throne is given him of his Father; and because he loved righteousness and hated iniquity, he further says, Therefore God, even thy God, hath anointed thee. Heb. 1:9. God hath made that same Jesus both Lord and Christ. Acts. 2:36. The Son is the everlasting Father, not of himself, nor of his Father, but of his children. His language is. I and the children

which God hath given me. Heb. 2:13.” {R. F. Cottrell, *Review & Herald*, June 1, 1869}

JOSEPH BIRCHARD FRISBIE (1816 – 1882)



Frisbie was a Methodist preacher and a bitter opponent of SDA teachings, but in 1853, after a debate on the Sabbath with Joseph Bates, he reversed his position and began to keep the Sabbath and preach the SDA doctrines. He built the first SDA church in Battle Creek on his property. He was a deep Bible student, and made contributions through several articles that he wrote in our papers. He was a vigorous evangelist, and for half a century an honored worker in the cause.

“The Sunday God.

We will make a few extracts, ***that the reader may see the broad contrast between the God of the Bible brought to light through Sabbath-keeping, and the god in the dark through Sunday-keeping.*** Catholic Catechism Abridged by the Rt. Rev. John Dubois, Bishop of New York. Page 5. 'Q. Where is God? Ans. God is everywhere. Q. Does God see and know all things? A. Yes, he does know and see all things...Q. Are there more Gods than one? A. No; there is but one God. Q. Are there more persons than one in God? A. Yes; in God there are three persons. Q. Which are they? A. God the Father, God the Son and God the Holy Ghost. Q. Are there not three Gods? A. No; the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, are all but one and the same God'...***These ideas well accord with those heathen philosophers...We should rather mistrust that the Sunday God came from the same source that Sunday-keeping did.*** {J. B. Frisbie, *Review & Herald*, March 7, 1854, The Sunday God, p. 50}

“In accordance with the doctrine that three very and eternal Gods are but one God, how may we reconcile...Acts [10:38. "How God anointed Jesus with the Holy Ghost," &c. First person takes the third person and anoints the second person with a person being at the same time one with himself.


"That three are one, and one are three,
Is an idea that puzzles me;
By many a learned sage 'tis said
That three are one in the Godhead.

"The Father then may be the Son,
For both together make but one;
The Son may likewise be the Father,
Without the smallest change of either.

"Yea, and the blessed Spirit be
The Father, Son and trinity;
This is the creed of Christian folks,
Who style themselves true orthodox,
All which against plain common sense,
We must believe or give offense."

{J. B. Frisbie, *Review & Herald*, March 12,
1857}

MERRITT E. CORNELL (1827 – 1893)



Cornell early believed the advent message, and dedicated his life to preaching it. In 1852 he was shown and believed the Sabbath truth by Joseph Bates, and immediately set out with great energy to proclaim his new faith and share it with others. He continued to be active in evangelism, working at various times with Hiram Case, James White, J. H. Waggoner, R. J. Lawrence, D. M. Canright, and J. O. Corliss. He traveled to several states in the South, defending Seventh-day Adventist views of scripture in public debate, holding evangelistic meetings, and writing articles

and news items about his experiences for the *Review and Herald*.

"Protestants and Catholics are so nearly united in sentiment, that it is not difficult to conceive how Protestants may make an image to the Beast. **The mass of Protestants believe with Catholics in the Trinity**, immortality of the soul, consciousness of the dead, rewards and punishments at death, the endless torture of the wicked, inheritance of the saints beyond the skies, sprinkling for baptism, and the PAGAN SUNDAY for the Sabbath; **all of which is contrary to the spirit and letter of the new testament.** Surely there is between the mother and daughters, a striking family resemblance." {M. E. Cornell, *Facts for the Times*, p. 76. 1858}

**JOHN GOTTLIEB MATTESON
(1835 – 1896)**




In 1863 Matteson learned of the Seventh-day Adventist faith and accepted it. He was a powerful preacher of the Advent message, conducting revivals and establishing churches across the country. He is well known for his work in Scandinavia. Often audiences of more than a thousand turned out to hear him. Eager to print Adventist literature, he taught himself to set type, enabling him to later organize and start a publishing house. He was a ready writer and undertook heavy responsibilities in editorial and educational work.

“Christ is the only literal son of God. “The only begotten of the Father.” John 1:14. He is God because he is the Son of God; not by virtue of His resurrection. If Christ is the only begotten of the Father, then we cannot be begotten of the Father in a literal sense. It can only be in a secondary sense of the word.” {J. G. Matteson, *Review & Herald*, October 12, 1869 p. 123)

Although A. T. Jones and E. J. Waggoner are not considered pioneers, the message God gave them was “precious light”, “a most precious message”, “to be given to the world”, “to prepare a people to stand in the day of God.” It was “the matchless charms of Christ” (*E. G. W. 1888 Materials*, pp. 309, 1336-1337, 1814, and 348). Their contribution is appreciatively noted.

ALONZO TREVIER JONES (1850 – 1923)



At age 20, A. T. Jones began three years of service in the Army. Interestingly enough, while most of his comrades spent their free time finding various pleasures, he spent much of his time pouring over large historical works, SDA publications, and the Bible. Thus he was laying a strong foundation of knowledge for his later work as a preacher and a writer. After his discharge in 1873, he was baptized and began preaching on the West Coast. In May, 1885, he became assistant editor of the *Signs of the Times*, and a few months later he and E. J. Waggoner became editors. This position he held until 1889. In 1888, these two men stirred the

General Conference session in Minneapolis with their preaching on righteousness by faith. For several years thereafter, they preached on that subject from coast to coast. Ellen White accompanied them on many occasions. She saw in Jones' presentations of "the precious subject of faith and the righteousness of Christ...a flood of light". {E. G. White, *1888 Materials*, p. 291}.

"He who was born in the form of God took the form of man. "In the flesh he was all the while as God, but he did not appear as God." "He divested himself of the form of God, and in its stead took the form and fashion of man." "The glories of the form of God, He for awhile relinquished."" {A. T. Jones, *G. C. Bulletin 1895*, p. 448}

"He was born of the Holy Ghost. In other words, Jesus Christ was born again. He came from heaven, God's first-born, to the earth, and was born again. But all in Christ's work goes by opposites for us: He, the sinless One, was made to be sin in order that we might be made the righteousness of God in Him. He, the living One, the Prince and Author of life, died that we might live. He whose goings forth have been from the days of eternity, the first-born of God, was born again in order that we might be born again."" {*Christian Perfection*, pr. 53. A Sermon by A. T. Jones, *Review & Herald*, July 18-August 1, 1899}

ELLET J. WAGGONER (1855 – 1916)



Waggoner served as editor, minister, and physician. He attended Battle Creek College in the earliest years of the institution. He served on the staff of the Battle Creek Sanitarium for a few years. However, since his heart was in evangelism, he left the practice of medicine and entered the ministry. In 1884 E. J. Waggoner became assistant editor of the *Signs of the Times*, under his father, J. H. Waggoner. Two years later, he and A. T. Jones became editors of the same journal, Waggoner remaining in the position until 1891. At the 1888 General Conference session in Minneapolis, Minnesota, he and Jones gave their famous series

of sermons on righteousness by faith, and specialized in preaching on that subject for several years thereafter. In 1892 he became editor of the *Present Truth*, in England, where he lived until 1902. While there he conducted, with W. W. Prescott, a workers' training school and, for a short time, was president of the South England Conference. After returning to the United States, he worked briefly on the staff of Emmanuel Missionary College. Domestic difficulties led to divorce and remarriage, resulting in his becoming separated from denominational employment. Some used this as a springboard to discredit his positive testimony on righteousness by faith, as Mrs. White once warned might happen if he were overthrown by the temptations of the enemy. Regardless of what happened to the man, Mrs. White declared about the message, "I see the beauty of truth in the presentation of the righteousness of Christ in relation to the law as the doctor has placed it before us." {1888 *Materials*, p. 164} She also said, "When the Lord had given to my brethren the burden to proclaim this message, I felt inexpressively grateful to God, for I know it was the message for this time.", "Dr. Waggoner has spoken to us in a straightforward manner. There is precious light in what he has said." {1888 *Materials*, pp. 217, 163}

"The Word was "in the beginning." *The mind of man cannot grasp the ages that are spanned in this phrase. It is not given to men to know when or how the Son was begotten;* but we know that he was the Divine Word, not simply before He came to this earth to die, but even before the world was created. Just before His crucifixion He prayed, "And now, O Father, glorify thou Me with Thine own self with the glory which I had with Thee before the world was." John 17:5. And more than

seven hundred years before His first advent, His coming was thus foretold by the word of inspiration: "But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall He come forth unto Me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from the days of eternity." Micah 5:2, margin. **We know that Christ "proceeded forth and came from God" (John 8:42), but it was so far back in the ages of eternity as to be far beyond the grasp of the mind of man.**" {E. J. Waggoner, *Christ And His Righteousness*, p. 9. 1890}

"Is Christ God?"

...*This name was not given to Christ in consequence of some great achievement, but it is His by right of inheritance.* Speaking of the power and greatness of Christ, the writer to the Hebrews says that He is made so much better than the angels, because "He hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they." Heb. 1:4. *A son always rightfully takes the name of the father; and Christ, as "the only begotten Son of God," has rightfully the same name. A son, also, is, to a greater or less degree, a reproduction of the father; he has to some extent the features and personal characteristics of his father; not perfectly, because there is no perfect reproduction among mankind. But there is no imperfection in God, or in any of His works, and so Christ is the "express image" of the Father's person. Heb. 1:3. As the Son of the self-existent God, He has by nature all the attributes of Deity. It is true that there are many sons of God, but Christ is the "only begotten Son of God," and therefore the Son of God in a sense in which no other being ever was or ever can be. The angels are sons of God, as was Adam (Job 38:7; Luke 3:38), by creation; Christians are the sons of God by adoption (Rom. 8:14, 15), but Christ is the Son of God by birth.* The writer to the Hebrews further shows that the position of the Son of God is not one to which Christ has been elevated, but that it is one which He has *by right.*" {E. J. Waggoner, *Christ And His Righteousness*, pp. 11-13. 1890}

"A word of caution may be necessary here. *Let no one imagine that we would exalt Christ at the expense of the Father or would ignore the Father.* That cannot be, for their interests are one. We honor the Father in honoring the Son. *We are mindful of Paul's words, that "to us there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him" (1 Cor. 8:6);* just as we have already quoted, that it was by Him that God made the worlds. **All things proceed ultimately from God, the Father; even Christ Himself proceeded and came forth from the Father,** but it has pleased the Father that in Him should all fullness dwell, and that He should be the direct, immediate Agent in every act of creation. *Our object in this investigation is to set forth Christ's rightful*

position of equality with the Father, in order that His power to redeem may be the better appreciated." {E. J. Waggoner, *Christ And His Righteousness*, p. 19. 1890}

"Is Christ a Created Being?"

Before passing to some of the practical lessons that are to be learned from these truths, we must dwell for a few moments upon an opinion that is honestly held by many who would not for any consideration willingly dishonor Christ, but who, through that opinion, do actually deny His Divinity. It is the idea that Christ is a created being, who, through the good pleasure of God, was elevated to His present lofty position. No one who holds this view can possibly have any just conception of the exalted position which Christ really occupies.

...The Scriptures declare that Christ is "the only begotten Son of God." He is begotten, not created. As to when He was begotten, it is not for us to inquire, nor could our minds grasp it if we were told. The prophet Micah tells us all that we can know about it in these words, "But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall He come forth unto Me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from the days of eternity." Micah 5:2, margin. There was a time when Christ proceeded forth and came from God, from the bosom of the Father (John 8:42; 1:18), but that time was so far back in the days of eternity that to finite comprehension it is practically without beginning.

But the point is that Christ is a begotten Son and not a created subject. He has by inheritance a more excellent name than the angels; He is "a Son over His own house." Heb. 1:4; 3:6. And since He is the only-begotten son of God, He is of the very substance and nature of God and possesses by birth all the attributes of God, for the Father was pleased that His Son should be the express image of His Person, the brightness of His glory, and filled with all the fullness of the Godhead....

Finally, we know the Divine unity of the Father and the Son from the fact that both have the same Spirit. Paul, after saying that they that are in the flesh cannot please God, continues: "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his." Rom. 8:9. Here we find that the Holy Spirit is both the Spirit of God and the Spirit of Christ. Christ "is in the bosom of the Father" being by nature of the very substance of God and having life in Himself. He is properly called Jehovah, the self-existent One and is thus styled in Jer. 23:5, 6, where it is said that the righteous Branch, who shall execute judgment and justice in the earth, shall be known by the name of Jehovah-tsidekenu--THE LORD, OUR RIGHTEOUSNESS.

Let no one, therefore, who honors Christ at all, give Him less honor than He gives the Father, for this would be to dishonor the Father by just so much, but let all, with

the angels in heaven, worship the Son, having no fear that they are worshiping and serving the creature instead of the Creator.” {E. J. Waggoner, *Christ And His Righteousness*, pp. 19-24. 1890}

“In arguing the perfect equality of the Father and the Son, and the fact that Christ is in very nature God, we do not design to be understood as teaching that the Father was not before the Son. It should not be necessary to guard this point, lest some should think that the Son existed as soon as the Father; yet some go to that extreme, which adds nothing to the dignity of Christ, but rather detracts from the honor due him, since many throw the whole thing away rather than accept a theory so obviously out of harmony with the language of Scripture, that Jesus is the only begotten Son of God. He was begotten, not created. He is of the substance of the Father, so that in his very nature he is God; and since this is so 'It pleased the Father that in him should all fullness dwell.' Col. 1:19...While both are of the same nature, the Father is first in point of time. He is also greater in that he had no beginning, while Christ's personality had a beginning.” {E. J. Waggoner, *Signs of the Times*, April 8, 1889}

How did Sister White view the 1888 message of Jones & Waggoner?


“I know it would be dangerous to denounce Dr. Waggoner's position as wholly erroneous.... That which has been presented harmonizes perfectly with the light which God has been pleased to give me during all the years of my experience.... I have had the question asked, "What do you think of this light that these men are presenting? **Why, I have been presenting it to you for the last 45 years...**” {E. G. W. 1888, pp. 164, 348}

Yet, some people still insist that Sister White did not endorse what Brother Waggoner was teaching about Christ's origin. Did she agree with Waggoner that Christ was begotten in heaven, before all creation? Did she teach that Christ was begotten of the Father, in His express image? It may come as a surprise to many, but Sister White actually repeated *the very same thought* that Waggoner was presenting, showing full harmony and support from the Spirit of Prophecy. Please notice:

“The angels are sons of God, as was Adam...by creation; Christians are the sons of God by adoption (Rom. 8:14, 15), **but Christ is the Son of God by birth.** ... and so Christ is the "express image" of the Father's person.” {E. J. Waggoner, *Christ And His Righteousness*, p. 12. **1890**}

“God so loved the world, that he gave his only-begotten Son,”-- not a son by creation, as were the angels, nor a son by adoption, as is the forgiven sinner, but a Son begotten in the express image of the Father's person...” {E. G. White, *Signs of the Times*, May 30, **1895**}

WILLIAM WARREN PRESCOTT (1855 – 1944)



W. W. Prescott was an educator and administrator. His parents were Millerites in New England. He served as principal of high schools in Vermont, and published and edited newspapers in Maine and Vermont prior to accepting the presidency of Battle Creek College (1885 to 1894). While still president of Battle Creek College he helped found Union College and became its first president in 1891. In 1901, he became vice-president of the General Conference, chairman of the Review and Herald Publishing Association board, and editor of the *Review and Herald*. W. W. Prescott was also in the 1890's said

to be one of "the Lord's chosen messengers, beloved of God" who had "co-operated with God in the work for this time" {E. G. W. 1888 *Materials*, p. 1241}. God gave him "a special message for the people" which he gave "in demonstration of the Spirit and power of God." {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, January 7, 1896}

"As Christ was twice born, once in eternity, the only begotten of the Father, and again here in the flesh, thus uniting the divine with the human in that second birth, so we, who have been born once already in the flesh, are to have the second birth, being born again of the Spirit, in order that our experience may be the same, the human and the divine being joined in a life union." {W. W. Prescott, *Review & Herald*, April 14, 1896, p. 232}

"The world rejected Jesus of Nazareth in the flesh, even though they could see him with the natural eye. Much more will the world reject him coming in the Spirit, invisible to the natural eye and only recognized by the eye of faith. ... When Jesus was talking with his disciples it was the time of transition from Jesus with his disciples to Jesus in his disciples, a change from a temporary residence among them to a permanent residence in them. This is the meaning of his words. 'Ye know him; for he abideth with you, and shall be in you.' **In both cases it was Jesus, first with them in the flesh, and then in them as the Spirit of truth. ... Plainly the coming of the Comforter is the coming of Jesus in the Spirit... We have a Comforter or Advocate in Heaven, Jesus Christ the righteous, there present in a bodily form, just as he ascended from this earth, and we have in our hearts the same Jesus in the Spirit, the other Comforter who is just Jesus' other self.** In one sentence Jesus promises that the comforter 'shall be in you,' and in the very

next sentence he promises, 'I will come⁴⁰ you.' We must not doubt it. Our faith must accept it. ***The Holy Spirit in the heart is 'Christ in you, the hope of glory.'*** ... And so we read: 'He that hath the Son hath the life; he that hath not the Son of God hath not the life.' He himself is our life." {Radio Talk, February 5, 1928 by W. W. Prescott. Station KFAB, Lincoln, Nebr. (Wave length 319 meters) At 10:15 p.m., C. S. T.}

"When he sought to comfort his disciples with the promise, 'I will not leave you desolate; I come unto you,' ***it is evidence that they understood that he himself would return to them, and not merely send an impersonal influence...*** He Himself is 'The Lord our righteousness,' ***and when he comes to us in the person of the Holy Spirit to abide in our hearts, he becomes our righteousness.***" {Radio Talk, February 12, 1928 by W. W. Prescott}

JAMES EDSON WHITE (1849 – 1928)




James Edson was the second son of James and Ellen White. He mastered the printer's trade at the Review and Herald office, where he began to work at the age of 15. An appeal from his mother for SDA's to engage in active missionary work for Negroes stirred his heart, and he set about to engage personally in educational and evangelistic work in the Deep South. This he did by planning a missionary river boat, which proved to be innovatively successful. Ever sensing the need of funds for missionary work, White pushed forward with the writing and publishing of books, 12 in all, a number of which were translated into several languages. Among them was *The Coming King*, which

was for many years leading all subscription books produced by SDA's on the Second Advent.

"The angels, therefore, are created beings, necessarily of a lower order than their Creator. ***Christ is the only being begotten of the Father.***" {J. E. White, *Past, Present and Future*, p. 52. 1909}

"Only one being in the universe besides the Father bears the name of God, and that is His Son, Jesus Christ." {J. E. White, *The Coming King*, p. 33}

WILLIAM CLARENCE WHITE (1854 – 1937)



'Willie' White was the third son of James and Ellen White. As he grew, eagerly he would listen with increasing interest and comprehension to the conversations regarding plans and methods of work for the advancement of the young church. He was baptized at 12 and began denominational work at 20, assisting his father in the publishing work. After his father's death in 1881 certain responsibilities of assisting his mother in her travels and in the publishing of her books fell on his shoulders, a responsibility that would gradually become his principle occupation. "It was also shown me that my son, W. C. White, should be my helper and counselor,

and that the Lord would place on him the spirit of wisdom and of a sound mind. I was shown that the Lord would guide him, and that he would not be led away, because he would recognize the leadings and guidance of the Holy Spirit. The assurance was given me: ...'The Lord will be your instructor. You will meet with deceptive influences; they will come in many forms, in pantheism and other forms of infidelity; but follow where I shall guide you, and you will be safe. ***I will put My Spirit upon your son,*** and will strengthen him to do his work. He has the grace of humility. The Lord has selected him to act an important part in His work. For this purpose was he born.' " {E. G. White, *Selected Messages Book 1*, pp. 54, 55}

"In your letter you request me to tell you what I understand to be my mother's position in reference to the personality of the Holy Spirit. This I cannot do because I never clearly understood her teachings on the matter. There always was in my mind some perplexity regarding the meaning of her utterances which to my superficial manner of thinking seemed to be somewhat confusing. I have often regretted that I did not possess that keenness of mind that could solve this and similar perplexities, and then remembering what Sister White wrote in 'Acts of the Apostles,' pages 51 and 52, 'regarding such mysteries which are too deep for human understanding, silence is golden,' I have thought best to refrain from discussion and have endeavored to direct my mind to matters easy to be understood.

As I read the Bible, I find that the risen Saviour breathed on the disciples 'and saith unto them, Receive ye the Holy Ghost.' The conception received from this Scripture, seems to be in harmony with the statement in 'Desire of Ages', page 669, also Gen. 1:2; with Luke 1:4; with Acts 2:4 and also 8:15 and 10:44. Many other texts might be referred to which seem to be in harmony with this statement in 'Desire of Ages.'

The statements and the arguments of some of our ministers, in their effort to prove that the Holy Spirit is an individual as are God the Father and Christ, the eternal Son, have perplexed me, and sometimes they have made me sad. One popular teacher said 'We may regard Him, as the fellow who is down here running things.' My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that one of the meanings of personality, was characteristics. **It is stated in such a way that I concluded that there might be personality without bodily form which is possessed by the Father and the Son.** There are many Scriptures which speak of the Father and the Son and the absence of Scripture making similar reference to the united work of the Father and the Holy Spirit or of Christ and the Holy Spirit, has led me to believe that the spirit without individuality was the representative of the Father and the Son throughout the universe, and it was through the Holy Spirit that they dwell in our hearts and make us one with the Father and with the Son." {Letter, W. C. White to H. W. Carr, April 30, 1935}

MILTON CHARLES WILCOX (1853 – 1935)

Before he accepted the Seventh-day Adventist faith at 25 years of age, Wilcox was a farmer, lumberman, and teacher. During 1882-1883, as an assistant editor to Uriah Smith he gained experience for his future work. In 1884 he was appointed the first editor of the *Present Truth*, published in England. Three years later he returned to the United States as assistant editor of the *Signs of the Times*, and then for a quarter of a century was editor in chief. He wrote various denominational tracts and books.

"God is the source of all life...God's life is eternal life, even as He is 'the eternal God.'...'But God is a person; how can His life be everywhere present?' God is everywhere present by His Spirit...The presence of God is therefore His Holy Spirit; and the Holy Spirit is therefore the life of God. And so we read of 'the Spirit of life' (Rom. 8:2), that 'the Spirit is life because of righteousness' ([v.] 10); that 'the Spirit giveth life' (2 Cor. 3:6)." {M. C. Wilcox, *Signs of the Times*, June 2, 1898}

"Question 187: What is the difference between the Holy Spirit and the ministering spirits (angels), or are they the same?

Answer: The Holy Spirit is the mighty energy of the Godhead, the life and power of God flowing out from Him to all parts of the universe, and thus making living connection between His throne and all creation. As is expressed by another: "The Holy Spirit is the breath of spiritual life in the soul. **The impartation of the Spirit is the impartation of the life of Christ.**" It thus makes Christ everywhere present. To use a crude illustration, just as a telephone carries the voice of a man, and so makes

that voice present miles away, so the Holy Spirit carries with it all the potency of Christ in making Him everywhere present with all His power, and revealing Him to those in harmony with His law. Thus the Spirit is personified in Christ and God, but never revealed as a separate person. Never are we told to pray to the Spirit; but to God for the Spirit. Never do we find in the Scriptures prayers to the Spirit, but for the Spirit." {M. C. Wilcox, Questions and Answers Gathered From the Question Corner Department of the Signs of the Times, pp. 181, 182. 1911}

"The glory supreme and insupportable of the Godhead is represented in the Father. 1 Tim. 6:16. Jesus Christ has forever blended the divine with the human, and from Him flows out the Spirit of life to all His children. The angels are the mediums, the ganglia, on these great currents of God's life to reenforce, so to speak, these life currents. They can bear without exaltation God's Spirit and its outshining glory, and in themselves bring the presence of God to His children, and drive back the angels of evil which seek to destroy them." {M. C. Wilcox, Signs of the Times, Feb. 26, 1908}

"28. THE PERSONALITY OF THE SPIRIT Ques. 1. Some say the Holy Spirit is a person; others say He is a personality; and others, a power only. Till how long should this be a matter of discussion? Ans. 1. The personality of the Holy Spirit will probably be a matter of discussion always. Sometimes the Spirit is mentioned as being 'poured out,' as in Acts 2. All through the Scriptures, the Spirit is represented as being the operating power of God... The reason why the Scriptures speak of the Holy Spirit as a person, it seems to us, is that it brings to us, and to every soul that believes, the personal presence of our Lord Jesus Christ...

"Because of the lack of faith, it was 'expedient,' necessary, that He should go away; for He declared, 'If I go not away, the Comforter will not come unto you; but if I go, I will send Him unto you.' John 16:7. His disciples could not realize the presence of the Spirit of God as long as Christ was with them personally. In that sense, He could be with those only who were in His immediate presence. But when He went away, and the Spirit came, it could make Christ present with everyone, wherever that one was with Paul in Athens, Peter in Jerusalem, Thomas in India, John in Patmos.

"These are simply illustrations. Wherever God's children are, there is the Spirit - not an individual person, as we look upon persons, but having the power to make present the Father and the Son. That Spirit is placed upon God's messengers, the angels; but the angels are not the Spirit. That Spirit is placed upon God's servants, His human messengers; but the human messengers are not the Spirit. They are possessed by the Spirit, and used by the Spirit, and have within them the power of the Spirit; but they are not the Spirit. The Spirit is independent of all these human or material agencies. Why not leave it there? Why not know that the Spirit, the Spirit of God, the Spirit of Christ, the Spirit of Deity, goes out into all the earth,

bringing the presence of God to every heart that will receive it?" {M. C. Wilcox, *Questions And Answers Vol.11*, 1919. 1938 ed., p.37-39. In 1945 ed. p.33-35}

SAREPTA MYRENDA (IRISH) HENRY (1839 – 1900)

In 1896, while a patient in the Battle Creek Sanitarium, Sarepta accepted the SDA teachings. She wrote numerous articles for the *Review and Herald*. She was a writer and a temperance worker. Her biography has been written by several authors, among them her daughter, Mary Rossiter; *My Mother's Life*; and her granddaughter, Margaret R. White, *Whirlwind of the Lord*.

"Q Do you think the Spirit of God is a person, or is it simply the power by which God works, and which he has given to man for his use?"

"A. The pronouns used in connection with the Spirit must lead us to conclude that he is a person, the personality of God which is the source of all power and life." {S. M. Henry, *The Abiding Spirit*, 1899.}

C. W. STONE

"The Word then is Christ. *The text speaks of His origin. He is the only begotten of the Father. Just how he came into existence the Bible does not inform us any more definitely; but by this expression and several of a similar kind in the Scriptures we may believe that Christ came into existence in a manner different from that in which other beings first appeared: That He sprang from the Father's being in a way not necessary for us to understand.*" {C. W. Stone, *The Captain of our Salvation*, p. 17. 1886}

A. J. DENNIS

"What a contradiction of terms is found in the language of [the] Trinitarian creed: *In unity of this head are three persons, of one substance, power, and eternity, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost.*" There are many things that are mysterious, written in the word of God, but we may safely presume the Lord never calls upon us to believe impossibilities. But creeds often do." {A. J. Dennis, *Signs of the Times*, May 22, 1879}

A. J. MORTON

“The Holy Spirit is divine because it proceeds from divinity. You can no more separate divinity from the Spirit of God and Christ than you can separate divinity from God and Christ. It is, therefore, the presence of the Spirit in the words of God’s promises which enable us to receive the divine nature from those promises.” {A. J. Morton, *Signs of the Times*, October 26, 1891, p. 342}

D. W. HULL

“The inconsistent positions held by many in regard to the Trinity, as it is termed, has, no doubt, been the prime cause of many other errors. Erroneous views of the divinity of Christ are apt to lead us into error in regard to the nature of the atonement. ... The doctrine which we propose to examine, was established by the Council of Nice, A. D., 325, and ever since that period, persons not believing this peculiar tenet, have been denounced by popes and priests, as dangerous heretics. It was for a disbelief in this doctrine, that the Arians were anathematized in A. D., 513. **As we can trace this doctrine no farther back than the origin of the "Man of Sin," and as we find this dogma at that time established rather by force than otherwise, we claim the right to investigate the matter, and ascertain the bearing of Scripture on this subject.** Just here I will meet a question which is very frequently asked, namely, Do you believe in the divinity of Christ? Most unquestionably we do; but we don't believe, as the M. E. church Discipline teaches, that Christ is the very and eternal God; and, at the same time, very man; that the human part was the Son, and the divine part was the Father.” {D. W. Hull, *Review & Herald*, November 10, 1859}

J. M. STEPHENSON

Stephenson was a convert of J. H. Waggoner. During his comparatively brief sojourn among Sabbatarian Adventists, Stephenson wrote a number of articles in the *Review* setting forth in clarity the position of the church on the Godhead. When he defected, he abandoned his former faith, and renounced the Sabbath and the truths he had stood for in unison with the brethren.

“In reference to his dignity, he *is denominated the Son of God, before his incarnation*. Hear his own language: [John 7:18; 10:36; 1 John 4:9, 10 quoted] *The idea of being sent implies that he was the Son of God antecedent to his being sent*. To suppose otherwise is to suppose that a father can send his son on an errand before that son has an existence, which would be manifestly absurd. “To say that God sent his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh,” is equivalent to saying that the Son of God assumed our nature; *he must therefore have been the Son of God before his incarnation.*” {J. M. Stephenson, *Review & Herald*, November 7, 1854}

“**To be the only begotten Son of God must be understood in a different sense than to be a Son by creation; for in that sense all the creatures he has made are sons. Nor can it refer to his miraculous conception, with the virgin Mary, by the Holy Ghost; because he is represented by this endearing title more than four thousand years before his advent in the village of Bethlehem.** Moreover, he is represented as being exalted far above the highest orders of men and angels in his primeval nature. He must therefore be understood as being the Son of God in a much higher sense than any other being. **His being the only begotten of the Father supposes that none except him were thus begotten; hence he is, in truth and verity the only begotten Son of God; and as such he must be Divine; that is, be a partaker of the Divine nature. ... The idea of Father and Son supposes priority of the existence of the one, and the subsequent existence of the other. To say that the Son is as old as his Father, is a palpable contradiction of terms. It is a natural impossibility for the Father to be as young as the Son, or the Son to be as old as the Father. If it be said that this term is only used in an accommodated sense, it still remains to be accounted for, why the Father should use as the uniform title of the highest, and most endearing relation between himself and our Lord, a term which, in its uniform signification, would contradict the very idea he wished to convey. If the inspired writers had wished to convey the idea of the co-etaneous existence, and eternity of the Father and Son, they could not possibly have used more incompatible terms. And of this, Trinitarians have been sensible. Mr. Fuller, although a Trinitarian, had the honesty to acknowledge, in the conclusion of his work on the Son-ship of Christ, that, “in the order of nature, the Father must have existed before the Son.” ... This gives “the only begotten of the**

Father" (see [John 1] verse 14) intelligent existence before the first act of creative power was put forth, and proves that it is his Divine nature here spoken of; and that too, in connection with the creation of all things. In verse 14, this Word, who was "in the beginning" "with God," who "was God," and by whom "all things were made, that were made," is declared to be the "only begotten of the Father," ***thereby teaching that in his highest nature he was begotten; and consequently as such, he must have had a beginning.***" {J. M. Stephenson, *Review & Herald*, November 14, 1854}

DUDLEY MARVIN CANRIGHT (1840 – 1919)

Canright became a Sabbath-keeper in 1859 under the labors of James and Ellen White. Ordained to the ministry in 1865, Canright rose to prominence as a powerful preacher and debater, and a polemic writer of considerable ability. He wrote several tracts, articles, and small books setting forth the doctrines of the last gospel message. A vision of greater achievement in the service of a cause more popular than that of the SDA's finally led him to resign and sever his connection with the church in 1887. Tragically, he then went into apostasy, denied his former faith, and dedicated his time to attacking the SDA church. In a meeting with the brethren in 1903, Canright said he wished he could come back to the fold, but after long, heartbreaking moans and weeping, he said: "I would be glad to come back, but I can't! It's too late! I am forever gone! gone!" To his old friend, D. W. Reavis, who was still a faithful SDA, Canright said: "Whatever you do, don't ever fight the message." The quotes that appear below from his pen were while he was still a faithful member of the church, before his apostasy. It is interesting to note that after Canright left the faith and renounced it he became a Trinitarian!

“For God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son,' &c. ***According to this, Jesus Christ is begotten of God in a sense that no other being is; else he could not be his only begotten Son.*** Angels are called sons of God, and so are righteous men; *but Christ is his Son in a higher sense, in a closer relation, than either of these.* God made men and angels out of materials already created. He is the author of their existence, their Creator, hence their Father. ***But Jesus Christ was begotten of the Father's own substance. He was not created out of material as the angels and other creatures were.*** He is truly and emphatically the 'Son of God,'...Heb.1:1-8 quoted. By this we see that a very plain and great distinction is made between the Son and all the angels. They are all commanded to worship him.


No created being can ever be worthy of worship, however high he may be, neither would it be right nor just for God to bid one order of his creatures to worship another. Divinity alone is worthy of worship, and to worship anything else would be idolatry. Hence Paul places Christ far above the angels, and makes a striking contrast between them.” {D. M. Canright, *Review & Herald*, June 18, 1867}

“At the time when the Bible was written, nearly the whole world had adopted either Polytheism or Pantheism. Polytheism taught that there were many gods. Rome had its gods. Greece had its gods. Egypt had its gods. ... In opposition to that, Moses and the prophets set forth the grand fact that this doctrine of many gods was a lie, and that there was but one God, Jehovah the living God...” “Hear, O Israel: The Lord our God is one Lord.’ Dt. 6:4. Here we strike the key-note of the doctrine of the Deity. ‘The Lord our God is ONE Lord.’ Not many, not a thousand, not a hundred, not ten, not three, but only ONE-one God. ... Turning to the New Testament, we find the same doctrine taught just as plainly as in the Old. Neither Moses nor the prophets ever set forth the unity of God more strongly than Jesus himself. He taught it and reiterated it many times. Thus he says: ‘The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel: The lord our God is one Lord; and thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul...And the scribe said unto him, Well, Master, thou hast said the truth; for there is one God; and there is none other but he.’ Mark 12:29-32. “The scribe said, ‘There is one God, and there is none other but he.’ To this declaration Jesus assented. ‘And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou has sent.’ John 17:3 Jesus says his Father is the only true God. But Trinitarians contradict this by saying that the Son and Holy Ghost are just as much the true God as the Father is... [1 Cor. 8:4-6 quoted] “Says the great apostle, ‘There is none other God but one,’ and ‘there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all things.’ He tells us who this one God is. It is not the Holy Ghost; it is not Jesus Christ, but it is the Father. Gal. 3:20; 1 Tim. 1:17... How the doctrine of the Trinity, of three Gods, can be reconciled with these positive statements I do not know. ...

And then the Bible never uses the phrases, ‘Trinity,’ ‘triune God,’ ‘three in one,’ ‘the holy three,’ ‘God the Holy Ghost,’ etc. but it does emphatically say there is only one God, the Father. And every argument to prove three Gods in one person, God the Father, God the Son, and God the Holy Ghost, all of them of one substance, and every way equal to each other, and all three forming but one, contradicts itself, contradicts reason, and contradicts the Bible... “God is self-existent, and the source and author of all things,-of angels, of men, of all the worlds,-of everything. Thus Paul says, ‘For of him and through him and to him, are all things;

to whom be glory forever. Amen.' Rom. 11:36. "He is the source of all life and immortality. Thus, speaking of the Father, Paul says, 'Who only hath immortality, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto.' 1 Tim. 6:16. Notice that this glorious God is the only one who, in himself, possesses immortality. That is, he is the fountain-head, the source of all life and immortality... "For as the Father hath life in himself; so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself.' John 5:26. This statement is unequivocal. The Father has life in himself, and in his great love for his Son he bestows the same gift upon him; but it will be noticed that the Father is the one from whom the gift came... How carefully Paul distinguishes between the Father and the Son. He says, 'The Father, of whom are all things,' and 'Jesus Christ, by whom are all things.' The Father is the source of everything. Jesus is the one through whom all things are done. All the authority, the glory, and the power of Christ he received from his Father... A belief in this doctrine is very important. Indeed, it cannot be too strongly insisted upon. Jesus even declares that the knowledge of this truth is necessary to eternal life. 'And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou has sent.' John 17:3. **"We must know the Father as the only true God. Then there is no true God besides the Father. But we must also know his Son Jesus Christ, whom he has sent. How simple and plain is this doctrine, and how abundantly sustained by the Holy Bible."** {D. M. Canright, *Review & Herald*, August 29, 1878}

JUDSON SYLVANEUS WASHBURN (1863 – 1955)



Washburn was the son of Sabbatarian Adventist pioneer Calvin Washburn who had joined the Advent movement during the Millerite movement of the 1840s. As a youth J. S. Washburn had many opportunities to meet the founding pioneers of the Seventh-day Adventist Church. Washburn claimed a rich SDA heritage. He was converted by J. N. Andrews at 11, baptized by James White at 12 and began preaching Adventism at 21.

He worked in the Iowa Conference. It was from here that he came as a delegate to the 1888 General Conference Session. The spiritual struggles that occurred at this meeting left him groping about his own spiritual life--a problem that he later sorted through by counseling with Ellen White. About this time he also began a correspondence with Mrs. White that lasted through the rest of her life (until her death in 1915). Rejuvenated spiritually by the message of righteousness by faith Washburn went as a missionary to England. Up until that time the work in England had been struggling, but his creative tactics for drawing crowds and holding their attention literally changed the face of the church there from a small company of believers to literally hundreds who were converted at a time. There is evidence that British Adventism may not have survived but for his contribution as a powerful and creative evangelist. In addition to his intense study of the Spirit of Prophecy and desire to obtain "everything that Sister White wrote," Washburn's amazing memory enabled him to memorize much of the Bible and Spirit of Prophecy writings. By 1918 he claimed to have memorized Revelation, Romans, James and Second Peter. He noted that his memory improved "with the study of the Bible and spirit of prophecy." *By 1948 he claimed to have memorized the entire New Testament and was working toward committing Isaiah to memory.*

There is a most remarkable story regarding Washburn, 1888, and Ellen White: J. S. Washburn, who was a nephew of George I. Butler, was twenty-six years old in the year of 1888, the year when Brother Waggoner and Jones delivered to the Adventist Church the special message of 'Righteousness by Faith'. When he first heard the message he rejected it, because he felt that it was contrary to the established teachings of the Adventist Church concerning the law of God; thus he sided with Brother Uriah Smith and J. H. Morrison in their disavowal of the doctrine. It was during this time that he first realized that Sister White was in full agreement with Jones and Waggoner, this knowledge led him to question Mrs. White's position

as the Lord's special messenger. After a short time of struggle, he met with Sister White and His doubts were dissolved. He later recalled:

“So I went to have a visit with her in her tent at the Ottawa meeting. I told her I had always thought and believed that she was a prophet. But I was disturbed by the Minneapolis episode. I had thought Uriah Smith and J. H. Morrison were right. "Do you know why J. H. Morrison left the Conference early?" she asked me. I replied, "Yes." Then she told me just what Morrison had said to me--and the revelation of her apparently superhuman knowledge of that private, confidential conversation frightened me. I realized that here was one who knew secrets. Sister White told me of her Guide in Europe, who had stretched His hands out, and said, "There are mistakes being made on both sides in this controversy." Then she added that the "Law in Galatians" is not the real issue of the Conference. The real issue is Righteousness by faith! ***"E. J. Waggoner can teach righteousness by faith more clearly than I can," said Sister White.*** "Why, Sister White," I said, "do you mean to say that E. J. Waggoner can teach it better than you can, with all your experience?" Sister White replied, ***"Yes, the Lord has given him special light on that question. I have been wanting to bring it out more clearly, but I could not have brought it out as clearly as he did. But when he brought it out at Minneapolis, I recognized it."*** {Report of interview with Elder J. S. Washburn by R. J. Wieland June 4, 1950}

After this meeting, J. S. Washburn and his wife became dear friends with Ellen White. Brother Washburn was the Pastor of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in Washington. Mrs. White was a visitor in their home on occasion and the Ellen White Estate has on record several letters that Sister White wrote to the couple. "May the Lord continue to bless the church in Washington is my prayer. I know the Lord blessed me when I was with you, and He blessed His people. Much love to all in your household, and much love to those with whom we took sweet counsel together in our precious meetings. The Lord lives and reigns, praise His holy name". {*The E. G. W. 1888 Materials*, p. 853, Chapter Title: To J. S. Washburn and wife}

It was the Conference's rejection of the special message of 'Righteousness by Faith', first given in 1888 that caused the writing of several letters from Mrs. White to the Washburn's. This rejection greatly sorrowed Sister White, and she wrote to Brother J. S. Washburn to encourage him to continue in the 'rays of the Sun of Righteousness', for she knew that he had now fully accepted the message.

Mr. Washburn became known as a true believer in the Spirit of Prophecy, and Ellen White considered him a defender of the Faith, which was once delivered unto the saints. For example, when Brother Uriah Smith repented himself of his error, in which he 'burdened' down the work for two years in the late 1880's (probably in resistance to the 1888 message of 'Righteousness by Faith' given in Minneapolis), it

was Brother Washburn to whom Sister White wrote and gave the good news of Brother Smith's repentance. "Brother Smith has fallen on the Rock, and is broken, and the Lord Jesus will now work with him." {*The E. G. W. 1888 Materials*, p. 852}

With the knowledge that the Seventh-day Adventist Church did not begin to incorporate the trinity doctrine into its beliefs until 1931 (after the death of the pioneers, including E. G. White. see *appendix*); and with the previous information on just who J. S. Washburn was, and his relationship to Sister White, the reader will be able to better appreciate the significance of his letter.

THE LETTER BY WASHBURN

"The doctrine of the Trinity is a cruel heathen monstrosity, removing Jesus from his true position of Divine Savior and Mediator. It is true we can not measure or define divinity. It is beyond our finite understanding, yet on this subject of the personality of God the Bible is very simple and plain. *The Father, the Ancient of Days, is from eternity. Jesus was begotten of the Father.* Jesus speaking through the Psalmist says: "The Lord (Jehovah) has said unto me, Thou art my son, this day have I begotten thee." - Psalm 2:7.

Again in Proverbs (where Jesus is spoken of under the title of wisdom, See 1 Cor. 1:24), we read: "The Lord (Jehovah) possessed me in the beginning of his way" v. 22

"Before the mountains were settled, before the hills was I brought forth." - v. 24

The Son says he was brought forth, begotten, born of His Father (Jehovah).

Satan has taken some heathen conception of a three-headed monstrosity, and with deliberate intention to cast contempt upon divinity, has woven it into Romanism as our glorious God, an impossible, absurd invention. This monstrous doctrine transplanted from heathenism into the Roman Papal Church is seeking to intrude its evil presence into the teachings of the Third Angel's Message. ...

And the fact that Christ is not the mediator in the Roman Church demonstrates that the Trinity destroys the truth that Christ is the one, the only mediator. The so-called Christian Church, the Papacy, that originated the doctrine of the Trinity, does not recognize him as the only mediator but substitutes a multitude of ghosts of dead men and women as mediators. *If you hold the Trinity doctrine, in reality, Christ is no longer your mediator. ...*

The whole Trinity doctrine is utterly foreign to all the Bible and the teachings of the Spirit of Prophecy. Revelation gives not the slightest hint of it. This monstrous heathen conception finds no place in all the free universe of our Blessed heavenly Father and His Son, our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ...The Catholic heathen doctrine of the Sunday Sabbath is just as sacred as the Catholic pagan doctrine of the Trinity and no more so...

Seventh-day Adventists claim to take the word of God as supreme authority and to have "come out of Babylon", to have renounced forever the vain traditions of Rome. ***If we should go back to the immortality of the soul, purgatory, eternal torment and the Sunday Sabbath, would that be anything less than apostasy? If, however, we leap over all these minor, secondary doctrines and accept and teach the very central root doctrine of Romanism, the Trinity, and teach that the son of God did not die, even though our words seem to be spiritual, is this anything else or anything less than apostasy, and the very Omega of apostasy?...*** *However kindly or beautiful or apparently profound his sermons or articles may be, when a man has arrived at the place where he teaches the heathen Catholic doctrine of the Trinity, and denies that the Son of God died for us, is he a true Seventh-day Adventist? Is he even a true preacher of the Gospel?* And when many regard him as a great teacher and accept his unscriptural theories, *absolutely contrary to the Spirit of Prophecy, it is time that the watchmen should sound a note of warning.*" {Portions of a letter written by J. S. Washburn in 1939.} (This letter was liked by a conference president so much that he distributed it to 32 of his ministers.)

HAMPTON WATSON COTTRELL (1852 – 1940)

Cottrell was an evangelist and an administrator. He served in a capacity of roles in the church leadership. Because of serious illness, he was forced in 1925 to take up less active work.

“The conclusion drawn at that time [the time of the pioneers] was that the Holy Spirit was not a person in the sense that God and Christ are persons, if so, the same difficulty would be encountered with the Holy Spirit being everywhere present as is held by the Trinitarians concerning God and Christ as persons being everywhere present, and if it should be so conceded Christ would be the son of the Holy Spirit, rather than] of God as the Bible declares him to be.” {Letter of H. W. Cottrell to LeRoy Froom, September 16, 1931}

BENJAMIN GEORGE WILKINSON (1872 – 1968)

Wilkinson was well known as dean, administrator, evangelist and author. He served in a number of capacities in the church. Among his books are *Truth Triumphant* and *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated* which are well known and loved by many faithful Seventh-day Adventists. He retired from active work after 56 years of faithful service.

“Replying to your letter of October 13 regarding the doctrine of the Trinity, I will say that Seventh-day Adventists do not and never have accepted the dark, mysterious Catholic doctrine of the Trinity.” {Letter, B. G. Wilkinson to Dr. D. S. Teters. Nov. 3, 1936}

APPENDIX

Fundamentals Principles of SDA's in 1889-1914, 1931, and 1981 Yearbooks

“We have no doubt, neither have we had a doubt for years, that the doctrines we hold **today** are **present truth**, and that we are nearing the judgment.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies Vol. 2*, p. 355. **1870**}

Appearing from 1889-1914 in the SDA Yearbooks

“As elsewhere stated, Seventh-day Adventists have no creed but the Bible; but they hold to certain well-defined points of faith, for which they feel prepared to give a reason “to every man that asketh” them. The following propositions may be taken as a summary of the principal features of their religious faith, upon which there is, so far as we know, entire unanimity throughout the body. They believe,--

I. That there is **one God**, a personal, spiritual being, the creator of all things, omnipotent, omniscient, and eternal; infinite in wisdom, holiness, justice, goodness, truth, and mercy; unchangeable, and everywhere present by his representative, the Holy Spirit. Ps. 139:7.

II. That there is one Lord Jesus Christ, **the Son of the Eternal Father**, the one by whom he created all things, and by whom they do consist;...

III. That the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments were given by inspiration of God, contain a full revelation of his will to man, and are the only infallible rule of faith....” {*Fundamental Principles of SDA's no. 1*, p. 147}

(Note: in **1872** this statement of beliefs was penned largely by James White in a 14-page leaflet entitled “A Declaration of the Fundamental Principles of the Seventh-day Adventists”, and it first appeared in the *Signs of the Times* issue of **1874**. {*The Living Witness*, 1959, Pacific Press Publishing Association, pp. 1, 2} From there it was incorporated into the Yearbooks of **1889-1914**. But, between the years of **1915-1930** there was no ‘Statement of Beliefs’ appearing in our Yearbooks. **What happened in those years?**)

“We have nothing to fear for the future, except as we shall **forget** the way the Lord has led us, and His teaching in our past history.” {E. G. White, *Life Sketches*, p. 196}

“I tell you now, that **when I am laid to rest, great changes will take place**. I do not know when I shall be taken; and I desire to warn all against the devices of the devil.” {E. G. White, Ms. 1, **1915**}

Ellen G. White died in 1915. (Note: by 1924 the last of the early pioneers, J. N. Loughborough, was laid to rest)

What great changes took place after she, and all the founding pioneers, died?

1931 Yearbook *(re-appearing after 15 years of absence!)*

“1. That the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments were given by inspiration of God, contain an all-sufficient revelation of His will to men, and are the only unerring rule of faith and practice. 2 Tim. 3:15-17.

2. That the Godhead, ***or Trinity***, consists of the Eternal Father, a personal, spiritual Being, omnipotent, omnipresent, omniscient, infinite in wisdom and love; the Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of the Eternal Father, through whom all things were created and through whom the salvation of the redeemed hosts will be accomplished; the Holy Spirit, the third person of the Godhead, the great regenerating power in the work of redemption. Matt. 28:19.

3. That Jesus Christ is very God, being of the same nature and essence as the Eternal Father. While retaining His divine nature He took upon Himself the nature of the human family, lived on the earth as a man...” {*Fundamental Principles of SDA’s no. 2, p. 377*}

Only when the prophet and the pioneers passed to their rest could the deceiver make his move, and “while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat,” (Matt. 13:25, 28)

1981 Yearbook

“1. The Holy Scriptures

The Holy Scriptures, Old and New Testaments, are the written Word of God, ...

2. ***The Trinity***

There is one God: Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, a unity of three co-eternal Persons. God is immortal, all-powerful, all-knowing, above all, and ever present. He is infinite and beyond human comprehension, yet known through His self-revelation. He is forever worthy of worship, adoration, and service by the whole creation. (Deut. 6:4; Matt. 28:19; 2 Cor. 13:14; Eph. 4:46; 1 Peter 1:2; 1 Tim. 17; Rev. 14:7.)

3. The Father

God the Eternal Father...

4. The Son

God the eternal Son...

5. The Holy Spirit

God the eternal Spirit...” {*Fundamental Principles of SDA’s no. 3, p. 5*}

(Anyone who can count will admit that **God, God, God = 3 Gods**. Is this what *you* worship?)

Who has done this change? “He said unto them, an enemy hath done this.”

HOW LONG HALT YE BETWEEN TWO OPINIONS?

Please note that these quotes and excerpts were often taken from lengthy and careful articles and dissertations that deal with this issue. It was not a passing error held by our pioneers to be cleared up by progressive revelation (as some claim when seeing a few of these statements, and refuse to see any more). It was a deeply-rooted pillar of their entire theology, including a full understanding of the Sabbath, the atonement, and the Sanctuary. There was harmony among *all* the brethren, including Mrs. White.

Today some may think that disregarding these foundational doctrines is the result of the Church advancing in present truth. But can this be? Can one advance in truth if he does not have truth? Was the advancement of truth to change the foundational doctrines of the Church? "Truth is truth, and will remain truth, and in the end will triumph gloriously." {E. G. White, *Review & Herald*, December 28, 1897}

One daunting question still remains, either God built his early church upon the most serious heresy, or the church has gone into the most serious heresy since the death of its prophet and pioneers. This should be disturbing to every true SDA believer. Was Ellen White in error when she supported these pioneers? Or has Satan been busy undermining the basic foundations of the church?

Is this meant to be an attack on the church or its leaders? Absolutely not. It is only a wake up call. "THE ENEMY IS UPON US" It is time for God's people to stop feeding on anything but God's Word. Study this out in full, prayerfully, and then ask for God's guidance on how to oppose the power of Rome that even now assaults the church.

Yes, this is an attack by Roman Catholicism. In the little book called *Handbook For Today's Catholic* written by the Roman Catholic church. It reads on page 11, "The mystery of the Trinity is the central doctrine of the Catholic Faith. Upon it are based all the other teachings of the Church."

The end is upon us! Where are the watchmen on the walls of Zion? This doctrine undermines everything that is of God and all of the pillars of our church. What will you do? Do you have the courage to defend the honor of Christ's bride against the attack of the mother of harlots? Will you come to the help of the Lord against the mighty? If not, then who will? It will only be those who stand for truth though the heavens fall. It will be God's remnant who will stand fearlessly in defense of His Church.

May God richly bless you and give you strength as you stand for truth against the flowing tide of heresy that threatens to wash away God's final fortress in an evil ungodly world.

The purpose of our literature work is not monetary gain, but the spread of truth. Hence these books are offered at no charge. The support of literature is based on the free-will offering of those who are able, as the Lord has prospered them. 'Speak unto the children of Israel, that they bring me an offering: of every man that giveth it willingly with his heart ye shall take my offering.' Exodus 25:2 So your support will keep us printing. Proceeds will go to further the spreading of the Gospel to others. Because these books are free, we strongly encourage bulk orders for you to share with others that only you can reach. If you are unable to financially give, please do not let this hinder you from making an order, but we plead the support of your prayers before the Lord.

Restitution Ministries

For Further Study.

- **'How shall we Consider Christ?'** The true position of Christ in the 1888 message by E. J. Waggoner. Refutes the heresy that Christ is a created being.
- **'The Deity of Christ'** A manuscript by C. S. Longacre written to combat the apostasy coming into God's church.
- **'The Altar of the Lord'** The restoration of God's Altar on Mt Carmel, paralleled with the final restoration of the knowledge of the true God.
- **'Who Is God's Spirit?'** A complete Biblical study on the Holy Spirit (covers every text that mentions the word 'spirit'. For the serious and sincere student)
- **'His Own Representative'** The relationship between Jesus & the Holy Spirit
- **'Truly, This Man Is The Son of God'** Evidence of Jesus being the Son of God prior to Bethlehem.
- **'The Wondrous Love of God'** The Love that God has for man can only be rightly understood in light of the sacrifice of the Father and the Son. A Biblical exposition suitable for all Christians. (non-SDA's)
- **'Building on Solid Rock'** The only true rock is Jesus Christ, the Son of God. A Study through the Bible, the Spirit of Prophecy, and the SDA pioneers.

Available from:

*Restitution Ministries P. O. Box 7137
Kariang, NSW 2250 Australia*

What did the Seventh-day Adventist pioneers believe? Well, that would depend on who you ask. Some say one thing, others say another. But, what does the Lord say: “Remember the days of old, consider the years of many generations: ask thy father, and he will shew thee; thy elders, and they will tell thee.” Deuteronomy 32:7. So the best person to ask would be the pioneers themselves. Alas! They are all dead. But “Let those who are dead speak by reprinting their articles.” {E. G. White, MS62, 1905} “We are to repeat the words of the pioneers in our work, who knew what it cost to search for the truth as for hidden treasure, and who labored to lay the foundation of our work.” {E. G. White, *R&H*, May 25, 1905} It is now possible to ‘ask’ the pioneers what they believed. They can tell us, in their own words, about the God they served. The God who founded this movement, and used those faithful men as builders of the Remnant Church, is the same God who will see it to the end.

The Seventh-day Adventist church claims a rich and exclusive heritage. Such a heritage is attested to by the prophetic utterances of the word of God. In light of this claim, it is the duty of every true and honest Seventh-day Adventist to uphold and maintain the ‘faith that was once delivered to the saints’. We are today the children of those early fathers, and as such we are admonished to, “Remove not the ancient landmark, which thy fathers have set.” Proverbs 22:28

But sadly, there seems to be a growing trend with many today to murmur against, and belittle, those faithful men. God does not tolerate such disrespect shown to his chosen instrumentalities. “I saw that God is displeased with the disposition that some have to murmur against those who have fought the heaviest battles for them and who endured so much in the commencement of the message, when the work went hard. ... He is displeased with those who are ready to find fault with and reproach those servants of God who have grown gray in building up the cause of present truth.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies Vol. 3*, pp. 320, 321} The memory of those pioneer workers is to be treasured and guarded. We are to “Let no one depreciate those who have been chosen of God...” {E. G. White, *R&H*, Nov. 30, 1897} “I am instructed to say: Let every believer respect the aged pioneers who have borne trials and hardships and many privations. They are God’s workmen and have acted a prominent part in the building up of His Work. ... God desires those who have come into the truth in later years to take heed to these words.” {E. G. White, *Testimonies Vol. 7*, p. 289}

The question remains for you, dear reader: What will you do in response to these calls? Will you heed the living voice of the Lord’s witnesses?